IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MISSISSIPPI FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI AUG 18 2016

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. CRIN

CRIMINAL NO. 1:15CR65-LG-RHW

MIKAL WATTS

VERDICT FORM FOR MIKAL WATTS

Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, Identity Theft, or Aggravated Identity Theft

1. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of conspiracy to commit mail fraud, wire fraud, identity theft, or aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 1 of the indictment.
Count 2: Mail Fraud
2. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 2.
Count 3: Mail Fraud
3. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 3.

Count 4: Mail Fraud

4. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 4.
Count 5: Mail Fraud
5. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 5.
Count 6: Mail Fraud
6. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 6.
Count 7: Mail Fraud
7. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 7.
Count 8: Mail Fraud
8. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 8.

Count 9: Mail Fraud

9. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 9.
Count 10: Mail Fraud
10. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 10.
Count 11: Mail Fraud
11. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 11.
Count 12: Mail Fraud
12. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 12.
Count 13: Mail Fraud
13. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of mail fraud as alleged in Count 13.

Count 14: Mail Fraud We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 14. ✓ NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of mail fraud as alleged in Count 14. Count 15: Mail Fraud We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 15. GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY of mail fraud as alleged in Count 15. Count 17: Wire Fraud 17. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of wire fraud as alleged in Count 17. Count 18: Wire Fraud 18. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY

Count 19: Wire Fraud

of wire fraud as alleged in Count 18.

19. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS

____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

____ NOT GUILTY

of wire fraud as alleged in Count 19.

Count 20: Wire Fraud 20. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of wire fraud as alleged in Count 20. Count 21: Wire Fraud 21. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ____ NOT GUILTY of wire fraud as alleged in Count 21. Count 22: Identity Theft 22. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 22 related to Nga Nguyen. Count 23: Identity Theft 23. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ____ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 23 related to Tan Pham. Count 24: Identity Theft 24.We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS

✓ NOT GUILTY

GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

of identity theft as alleged in Count 24 related to Cu Dong.

We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 25.NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 25 related to Leng Tang. Count 27: Identity Theft 27. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 27 related to Hue Nguyen. Count 28: Identity Theft 28. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS __ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 28 related to Tan Nguyen. Count 31: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 31. ✓ NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 31 related to Nghia Hoang. Count 32: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 32. NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

Count 25: Identity Theft

of identity theft as alleged in Count 32 related to Hang Hoang.

Count 33: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 33. NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 33 related to Peter Ho. Count 34: Identity Theft 34. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 34 related to Be Huynh. Count 35: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 35. ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 35 related to Chi Nguyen. Count 37: Identity Theft 37. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 37 related to Cindy Tran. Count 38: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 38.

GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

of identity theft as alleged in Count 38 related to Vu Hoang.

NOT GUILTY

Count 39: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 39. NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 39 related to Mary Luckett. Count 40: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 40. GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 40 related to Hung Nguyen. Count 41: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 41. NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 41 related to Mary Quave. Count 42: Identity Theft 42. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 42 related to Maria Vu. Count 43: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 43.

GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

of identity theft as alleged in Count 43 related to Kim Nguyen.

NOT GUILTY

We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 44.NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 44 related to Van Nguyen. Count 45: Identity Theft 45. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 45 related to Kiet Nguyen. Count 46: Identity Theft 46. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ✓ NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 46 related to Quyen Vo. Count 47: Identity Theft 47. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ✓ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 47 related to Roger Nguyen. Count 48: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 48. NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

Count 44: Identity Theft

of identity theft as alleged in Count 48 related to Anna Do.

Count 49: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 49. NOT GUILTY GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 49 related to Nga Nguyen. Count 53: Identity Theft 53. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ✓ NOT GUILTY ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of identity theft as alleged in Count 53 related to Mary Quave. Count 55: Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 55. ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt ___ NOT GUILTY of identity theft as alleged in Count 55 related to Tan Nguyen. Count 74: Aggravated Identity Theft 74.We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS ____ GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 74 related to Tan Pham. Count 75: Aggravated Identity Theft We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS 75. GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt

of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 75 related to Cu Dong.

Count 76: Aggravated Identity Theft

76. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 76 related to Leng Tang.
Count 77: Aggravated Identity Theft
77. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 77 related to Hue Nguyen.
Count 79: Aggravated Identity Theft
79. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 79 related to Nghia Hoang.
Count 80: Aggravated Identity Theft
80. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 80 related to Maria Vu.
Count 81: Aggravated Identity Theft
81. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 81 related to Kim Nguyen.

Count 82: Aggravated Identity Theft 82. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS

82. We the jury unanimously find the defendant WIKAL WAI IS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 82 related to Van Nguyen.
Count 83: Aggravated Identity Theft
83. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 83 related to Hang Hoang.
Count 84: Aggravated Identity Theft
84. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 84 related to Kiet Nguyen.
Count 85: Aggravated Identity Theft
85. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 85 related to Quyen Vo.
Count 86: Aggravated Identity Theft
86. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 86 related to Roger Nguyen.

Count 87: Aggravated Identity Theft

87. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 87 related to Peter Ho.
Count 88: Aggravated Identity Theft
88. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 88 related to Be Huynh.
Count 89: Aggravated Identity Theft
89. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 89 related to Chi Nguyen.
Count 91: Aggravated Identity Theft
91. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 91 related to Cindy Tran.
Count 92: Aggravated Identity Theft
92. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 92 related to Vu Hoang.

Count 93: Aggravated Identity Theft

93. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 93 related to Mary Luckett.
Count 94: Aggravated Identity Theft
94. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 94 related to Anna Do.
Count 95: Aggravated Identity Theft
95. We the jury unanimously find the defendant MIKAL WATTS
GUILTY beyond a reasonable doubt NOT GUILTY
of aggravated identity theft as alleged in Count 95 to Hung Nguyen.
Signed:
8/18/16
Foreperson Date