1	1 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS	•	MARC B. ZINGARINI, ESQUIRE	3
2	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION	2	mzingarini@mcklaw.us.com McGIVNEY, KLUGER & COOK, P.C.	
3		3	1650 Arch Street, Suite 1800 Philadelphia. PA 19103	
4	SHANIKA LAKIYAH BROWN,) INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS)	4	215.557.1900 Counsel for Defendant Silvi	
5	PARENT OF A.B., MINOR,) ET AL.) CASE ID NOS.	5		
6) 151000925	6		
-	ý 170302288			
7	SILVI CONCRETE) PRODUCTIONS, INC., ET AL.)	7		
8		8		
9		9		
10	Courtroom 646, City Hall	10		
11	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	11		
12		12		
13	SEPTEMBER 19, 2018	13		
14		14		
15	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LISA M. RAU, J.	15		
16		16		
17	JURY TRIAL	17		
18	MORNING SESSION	18		
19		19		
20		20		
21	REPORTED BY: JANET M. MANSFIELD, RPR	21		
22	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
20				
[
	2			4
1	APPEARANCES: 2	1	(In open court.)	4
2		2	(In open court.) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This	4
	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE			4
2	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL	2	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations.	4
2 3	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002	2 3	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be	4
2 3 4	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600	2 3 4	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations.	4
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning.	4
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG &	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I	4
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmallev@travneslaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com GERALD J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com GERALD J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE gcorcoran@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com GERALD J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE gcorcoran@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com GERALD J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE gcorcoran@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone MR. FARRAR: I think a clean copy	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone MR. FARRAR: I think a clean copy was going to be 5 without the crossouts. 	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone MR. FARRAR: I think a clean copy was going to be 5 without the crossouts. I don't think we got the clean copy. We	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone MR. FARRAR: I think a clean copy was going to be 5 without the crossouts. I don't think we got the clean copy. We sort of made our own. Okay. 	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES: WESLEY TODD BALL, ESQUIRE wes@fbtrial.com KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQUIRE kyle@fbtrial.com KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 713.221.8300 Counsel for Plaintiff Brown DANIEL J. SHERRY, JR., ESQUIRE daniel@erlegal.com EISENBERG, ROTHWEILER, WINKLER, EISENBERG & JECK, P.C. 1634 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.546.6636 Counsel for Plaintiff Reed BERNARD W. SMALLEY, SR., ESQUIRE bwsmalley@rayneslaw.com RAYNES, LAWN, HEHMEYER 1845 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.568.6190 Guardian Ad Litem for A.B. JOHN J. LEVY, ESQUIRE jlevy@mmwr.com MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP LibertyView 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 600 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 856.488.7739	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. This Court now back in session. Please be seated and cease all conversations. THE COURT: Good morning. We're not sure the jury exhibit, I mean the jury instructions that we first of all, let me tell you the jury is ordering lunch right now, so when we get to that moment, we've got less downtime. Jess, let's have them not bring it until 12:30, and we can always change it if we want. In terms of the jury instructions that I had e-mailed you yesterday, last night I guess it was I'm so sick of jury instructions didn't I say that those were going to be labeled as Court Exhibit No. 5? Does anyone MR. FARRAR: I think a clean copy was going to be 5 without the crossouts. I don't think we got the clean copy. We	4

1				
	41 beginning and Mr. Farrar would be doing	• 1	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,	43
2	the rebuttal?	2	may it please the Court. On behalf of	
3	MR. BALL: It seems that way, Your	3	Shanika Brown, on behalf of Mr. Farrar,	
4	Honor.	4	on behalf of rest of our trial team, I	
5	THE COURT: Okay. And,	5	want to start by stating a very sincere	
6	Mr. Corcoran, you'll be doing it on	6	thank you.	
7	behalf of Silvi?	7	It has been a long process we	
8	MR. CORCORAN: I will, Your Honor.	8	know that for you. It's been long	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	9	for us. I know it's been long for you.	
10	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise as the	10	It's not something that you do a daily	
11	jury enters the room.	11	basis, and, sincerely, thank you not	
12	(The jury entered the courtroom.)	12	only for being here, but for paying the	
13	THE COURT OFFICER: You may be	13	attention that you have throughout the	
14	seated.	14	case. We've noticed it every day.	
15	THE COURT: Good morning. I hope	15	THE COURT: Mr. Ball, before you go	
16	you enjoyed your day off. We were here	16	one step further, there's something	
17	working.	17	wrong with the mic.	
18	So here we are. I think we started	18	MR. BALL: It's not on. It's the	
19	maybe five, six weeks ago. The evidence	19	user, not the mic.	
20	is complete. You will now hear closing	20	THE COURT: Give it	
21	statements on behalf all the parties in	21	MR. BALL: We're good now?	
22	the case.	22	THE COURT: We're perfect now.	
23	Pay close attention to closing	23	MR. BALL: All right. And thank	
24	statements. A reminder that closing	24	you to the court staff for the last six	
25	statements are not evidence. They're	25	weeks of making sure that I don't have	
ļ	-		-	
1	42 argument by counsel on behalf of their	1	pens in my hand and mics that work.	44
2	clients.	2	Our trial team has tried every	
3	The law in Pennsylvania is that the	3	single day, every single week to come	
4	plaintiffs who have the burden of	4	before you and to provide you with the	
5	proving their claims begin, and then the	5	facts that we believe you need, the	
6	defendants give their closing	6	information that we believe you need,	
7	statements, and then the plaintiffs have	7	and we have done our best not to waste	
8	the opportunity to give brief rebuttal.	8	your time in doing that, and I hope we	
9	The law does not permit that for	9	have succeeded.	
10	the defendants. So that's what the law	10	Before we take a look back at those	
11	is. I apply it.	11	facts in this what we commonly refer to	
12	So just know that I'm sure that the	12	as closing where I'm going to do my best	
12	defendants would like to get up and give	13	to summarize for you what I believe the	
13	their own rebuttal if they could, but	14	facts showed, what I believe they showed	
13 14	the law does not permit them to do	15	compared and applied to the law, before	
14			compared and applied to the law, before	
14 15		16	I do that I would like to show a few	
14 15 16	that.	16	I do that, I would like to show a few	
14 15 16 17	that. So I just want people to know that	17	instructions that you will be given in	
14 15 16 17 18	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're	17 18	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've	
14 15 16 17 18 19	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you	17 18 19	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you to think that they don't want to get up	17 18 19 20	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to the jury form, to the decisions that you	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you to think that they don't want to get up and give a rebuttal, but the law is	17 18 19 20 21	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to the jury form, to the decisions that you will make.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you to think that they don't want to get up and give a rebuttal, but the law is written the way it is. Okay?	17 18 19 20 21 22	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to the jury form, to the decisions that you will make. After I'm finished, after Silvi is	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you to think that they don't want to get up and give a rebuttal, but the law is written the way it is. Okay? On behalf of Shanika Brown, closing	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to the jury form, to the decisions that you will make. After I'm finished, after Silvi is finished, after we have a brief	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. So I just want people to know that at the outset, because sometimes they're waiting for that, and I don't want you to think that they don't want to get up and give a rebuttal, but the law is written the way it is. Okay?	17 18 19 20 21 22	instructions that you will be given in the application of the facts that you've heard, the facts that I will argue, to the jury form, to the decisions that you will make. After I'm finished, after Silvi is	

Г		45		47
1	form. The instructions are how you are	1	witnesses in this case on behalf of	
2	to render your verdict with definitions	2	Silvi who have had, at best,	
3	and whatnot.	3	inconsistent testimony, and I believe	
4	I'd like to focus on a couple of	4	that all of those witnesses you will	
5	those to begin with, because I think	5	see, as I will summarize and you will	
6	they color a lot of what I am going to	6	remember, will help you in believing the	
7	say and a lot of what you will	7	remainder of what Silvi and their	
8	understand about the evidence or maybe	8	attorneys have told you.	
9	you already have understood about the	9	It is sort of like looking through	
10	evidence.	10	a prism. You look through a prism and	
11	You will get an instruction that is	11	you see things in a different color.	
12	4.20, entitled Believability of the	12	That is what I believe the	
13	Witness. I want to read this for you.	13	believability of some of these witnesses	
14	I'm not going to read the entire	14	or the instruction gives to you, the	
15	instructions for you, by the way. The	15	ability to understand and relate one	
16	Judge will do that, so we're not going	16	witness to all others.	
17	to tread that water. But I want to go	17	Now, as to that, the Judge	
18	over a couple of them.	18	instructed you quite some time ago on an	
19	"Believability of Witnesses	19	issue that I believe touches on the	
20	Generally. As judges of the facts, you	20	believability of witnesses, so I want to	
21	decide the believability a witness'	21	refresh you with that instruction now.	
22	testimony. This means that you decide	22	Spoliation of Evidence, 5.60, that	
23	the truthfulness and accuracy of each	23	will be in the instructions given to you	
24	witness' testimony and whether to	24	by Her Honor. Let me read it. "Members	
25	believe all, part, or none of each	25	of the jury, Silvi Concrete was informed	
		46		48
1	witness' testimony. This applies to	1	in August of 2015 that Christopher	
2	both regular witnesses and expert	2	Pruden's company-issued cell phone and	
3	witnesses."	3	all of its data needed to be preserved.	
4	Under that, because I'm not going	4	"On the date of the incident,	
5	to read everything, the other applicable	5	Mr. Pruden used the cellular phone to	
6	portions I believe that I should read	6	speak with David Barrientos, the driver	
7	for you, "If you decide that a witness	7	of the Silvi Concrete truck involved in	
8 9	intentionally lied about a fact that may	8	the incident, multiple times directly before the incident and multiple times	
	affect the outcome of the case, you may,		directly after the incident.	
10 11	for that reason alone, choose to disbelieve the rest of that witness'	10	"Silvi Concrete failed to take	
12	testimony. But you are not required to	12	Mr. Pruden's phone and failed to	
13	do so.	13	preserve the data.	
14	"You should consider not only the	14	"The relevant data on the cell	
15	lie, but also the other factors I have	15	phone was tampered with in November and	
16	given you in deciding whether to believe	16	December of 2016, such that the data was	
17	other parts of the witness' testimony."	17	made irretrievable and the plaintiffs	
18	Lastly, "As you decide the	18	never had an opportunity to inspect the	
		19		
19 20	believability of each witness' testimony, you will at the same time	20	that data. "Silvi Concrete knew that this was	
20		20	relevant to the issue in the lawsuit.	
21	decide the believability of other	21		
22	witnesses and other evidence in this case."	22	As such, you are instructed to presume that this evidence would have been	
	case. I provide that to you because I	23	unfavorable to Silvi Concrete."	
	i provide that to you because i	24		
24 25	think that you have seen a number of	25	You don't destroy evidence unless	

г		л г		
1	49 you do it intentionally with a purpose.	• 1	health. At least that's what she wanted	51
2	I believe that this and what we	2	to give opinions about.	
3	will speak about as it concerns this	3	You will also recall Dr. Meier who	
4	will, as we said earlier, give you more	4	was the life care planner that the	
5	of a prism to look at the rest of their	5	defendants brought before you to tell	
6	testimony, the rest of what their	6	you what, if anything, Shanika would	
7	lawyers have said, the rest of the	7	need later in her life.	
8	documents and experts that have been	8	One of the things, as you will	
9	brought before you.	9	recall, Dr. Meier said that Shanika	
10	Because you don't destroy evidence	10	needed was psychological counseling for	
11	if you believe you are right. You lay	11	the remainder of her life.	
12	it on the table and let the cards fall	12	I found that strange and I think	
13	as they may. That is not what happened	13	the jury did as well, because that was	
14	here.	14	at odds, completely at odds with what	
15	Let's talk about the believability	15	the witness who was sitting on that	
16	of some of these witnesses and another	16	stand said not two days earlier.	
17	instruction. Again, I told you I'm not	17	Dr. Ziv, who they hired, said	
18	going to read every one of them. I	18	what? She's fine. She had some	
19	really won't, I promise.	19	problems, she felt bad, but she has	
20	But another one as it concerns	20	recovered, and she needs not one more	
20	these witnesses is the inconsistent	20	bit of psychological help.	
22	statements that these witnesses have	22	When I told Dr. Meier that this	
23		23	was the question and answer "I guess	
23	made as I began this. An instruction you will be given in	23	the lack thereof is what brings me to	
25	4.50, entitled Impeachment or	24	this. Dr. Barbara Ziv sat on that stand	
20	4.50, entitled impeaciment of	23	this. Dr. Daibara ziv sat on that stand	
L		JL		
	50			52
1	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or	1	in that chair where you are about three	52
2	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as	2	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika	52
2 3	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You	2 3	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future	52
2	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as	2 3 4	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand	52
2 3	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You	2 3	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future	52
2 3 4	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an	2 3 4	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand	52
2 3 4 5	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with	2 3 4 5	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court.	2 3 4 5 6	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by	52
2 3 4 5 6 7	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was	2 3 4 5 6 7	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that?	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes."	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with everyone they put up there, and every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't need it.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with everyone they put up there, and every one of their employees that we called to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't need it. Inconsistency in the experts that	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with everyone they put up there, and every one of their employees that we called to that stand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't need it. Inconsistency in the experts that they've hired. They can't even get the	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with everyone they put up there, and every one of their employees that we called to that stand. Let's begin with their experts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't need it. Inconsistency in the experts that they've hired. They can't even get the experts they pay correct. 	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Corroboration of Witness By Prior or Inconsistent Testimony, reads as follows: "Inconsistent Statement. You may have heard that a witness made an earlier statement inconsistent with their testimony in court. "If you believe a statement was inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement to evaluate the believability, in other words, the truthfulness and accuracy of the witness' testimony in court." How many times did one of us stand in front of this witness stand and have to go to the tape with? With every single witness, and it wasn't just the fact witnesses. We did it with their experts. We did it with everyone they put up there, and every one of their employees that we called to that stand. Let's begin with their experts. You will recall Dr. Ziv. Dr. Ziv was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in that chair where you are about three days ago and told this jury that Shanika is fine, that she needs no more future psychological care. Do you understand that? "Answer: If that's what she said. I mean, I trust her word. "Fair enough. To say I think by the look on your face, that you would disagree with that? "I certainly would, yes." And you may recall how that went down. I think he was as shocked as I was that he had been given the responsibility to give a life care plan for this lady, yet was being told for the first time on the stand that they've hired somebody else to say she doesn't need it. Inconsistency in the experts that they've hired. They can't even get the experts they pay correct. What about this next one? Lew 	52

1	53 inconsistencies in his statement. He	• 1	Mrs. Pierce is Mrs. Pierce was read the	55
2	had inconsistencies with himself, with	2	following question these were her	
3	everybody else. I don't know that he	3	words from her report "My question is	
4	said a consistent thing.	4	do you agree with this: 'Despite the	
5	We know and I can really end	5	Americans with Disability Act,	
6	with this that Mr. Grill qualified	6	nondisabled applicants are more often	
7	himself as a truck driver, a trucking	7	hired over disabled individuals'? Do	
8	expert, a professor, a pilot, an expert	8	you agree with that sentence?"	
9	field goal kicker, a Hollywood movie	9	What was her answer? "No I don't."	
10	producer and director. He had a lawyer	10	Those were her words from hundreds	
11	infomercial, and it specialized in	11	of other reports. She knew it. Once we	
12	extreme blunder.	12	showed her her reports, you saw the look	
13	You will recall that Mr. Grill's	13	on her face. She realized that she had	
14	favorite thing to say was, "He's wrong,	14	gone too far.	
15	she's wrong." Mr. Grill sat up there	15	Believability of their witnesses,	
16	and said Scott Keck, the Silvi fleet	16	the credibility of their witnesses.	
17	manager with the responsibility of	17	Let's talk about some promises that	
18	caring for every one of these trucks,	18	were made in this case early on.	
19	was wrong over and over and over and	19	Early on in Silvi's opening, Silvi	
20	over again, and every time he said it,	20	said, a promise they gave you is, "But	
21	he had an excuse for why he believed	21	nothing Silvi did, the evidence will	
22	that he didn't know he was wrong, and,	22	show, caused the accident other than the	
23	in addition to that, why he believed,	23	sin of running over a belt and having	
24	Mr. Grill believed he was right.	24	their tire blow out." That is what they	
25	Everybody was wrong. Nobody was	25	promised you the evidence would show in	
	, , , , , , ,			
1	right except for Mr. Grill and	1	this case	56
1	right except for Mr. Grill, and	1	this case. THE COURT: You meant holt?	56
2	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the	2	THE COURT: You meant bolt?	56
2 3	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before.	2 3	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say?	56
2 3 4	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that	2 3 4	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said	56
2 3 4 5	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt.	56
2 3 4 5 6	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse	56
2 3 4 5 6 7	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that.	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out."	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case.	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications,	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were:	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were: "Never worked in the tire industry in	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine. Of course, she had opined that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were: "Never worked in the tire industry in any way, shape, or form?	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine. Of course, she had opined that someone else who had fallen on a bench and hurt their back was ruined for life	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were: "Never worked in the tire industry in any way, shape, or form? "Answer: As an employee, no.	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine. Of course, she had opined that someone else who had fallen on a bench and hurt their back was ruined for life and would never be able to work. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were: "Never worked in the tire industry in any way, shape, or form? "Answer: As an employee, no. "Never designed a tire?	56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right except for Mr. Grill, and Mr. Grill wasn't right on some of the things that he had even said before. Believability of the witnesses that they can't even amongst their own witnesses, his own testimony, he can't even get it right. One thing, by the way, you'll recall and I'm going to get to this in a second Mr. Grill didn't tell you is that he was an expert in any form of tire, no tire failure, no tire analysis, nothing on tires. We'll get to that in a second. Rosalyn Pierce. You recall Mrs. Pierce. She was the vocational expert who testified for Silvi. She was a vocational expert who said that Shanika, again, was fine. Of course, she had opined that someone else who had fallen on a bench and hurt their back was ruined for life	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: You meant bolt? MR. BALL: What did I say? THE COURT: I thought you said belt. MR. BALL: I said belt. Excuse me. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll repeat that. "But nothing Silvi did, the evidence will show, caused the accident other than the sin of running over a bolt and having their tire blow out." That is what Silvi's lawyers promised they would show you before we started this case. One of the people they brought to you was Craig Lieberman. Craig Lieberman, you heard his qualifications, and one of his qualifications were: "Never worked in the tire industry in any way, shape, or form? "Answer: As an employee, no.	56

1	57 "No.	• 1	manager oven though he disagreed with	59
2			manager even though he disagreed with	
2	"Never did a tire failure analysis,	2	him. That's the only person Silvi	
4	correct? "Correct."		brought to you.	
		4	I say it's that only person Silvi	
5	That is what you heard from	5	brought to you, because think about the	
6	Mr. Lieberman, and that is the last	6	converse. What we have brought to you,	
7	thing that you heard from	7	what I believe to be very good	
8	Mr. Lieberman.	8	testimony, qualified testimony from	
9	Who did you not hear from in this	9	Mr. Cottles, Mr. Zembower, Silvi did not	
10	case? From Silvi. You heard from no	10	rebut. Silvi gave no one to say, "No,	
11	tire expert, no one, not one single	11	no, no, that guy is wrong, I'm right."	
12	person with any experience in the tire	12	They gave no one. There was nothing to	
13	industry, who has ever designed a tire,	13	rebut what we have said.	
14	who has ever done anything to tires like	14	Let's talk about some of Silvi's	
15	this (indicating).	15	company employees, Mr. Keck. Mr. Keck	
16	The closest that they came to that	16	in trial "Mr. Keck, I think I just heard	
17	was Craig Montgomery, a nice man from up	17	you say that you intentionally set the	
18	north of Philadelphia, I believe it was,	18	tire pressure on Truck 118 to 105?	
19	who has performed some tire repairs on	19	"I said the mechanic did.	
20	some tires since 2015.	20	"Your mechanic did? That's not	
21	Oddly enough, he has never	21	what you wanted it set at, though,	
22	performed a repair on a tire like this,	22	right?	
23	as you found out. But, more	23	"Answer: I gave them a range of	
24	importantly, why in the world are we	24	110 to 115.	
25	talking about tire repairs? That tire	25	"Question: It's actually 110 to	
ļ				
	58			h
1	58 can't be repaired.	1	120, is what you told us?	60
1 2		1 2	120, is what you told us? "Answer: 120, but we don't set it	60
	can't be repaired.			60
2	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order	2	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it	60
2 3	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at	2 3	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max."	60
2 3 4	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire	2 3 4	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began.	60
2 3 4 5	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you	2 3 4 5	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us,	60
2 3 4 5 6	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately	2 3 4 5 6	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the	60
2 3 4 5 6 7	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand.	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.)	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct? 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct?" 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct?" "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct?" "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had more experience in maintaining tires in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct? "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy and safe. 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had more experience in maintaining tires in fleets than one can imagine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct? "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy and safe. Mr. Desmond. We heard from Desmond 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had more experience in maintaining tires in fleets than one can imagine. Silvi brought to you Scott Keck,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct?" "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy and safe. Mr. Desmond. We heard from Desmond quite a bit. Mr. Desmond, as you will 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had more experience in maintaining tires in fleets than one can imagine. Silvi brought to you Scott Keck, the man that Lew Grill disagreed with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct? "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy and safe. Mr. Desmond. We heard from Desmond quite a bit. Mr. Desmond, as you will recall, is the one who was tasked as the 	60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can't be repaired. It's a waste of your time in order to deflect away from you the facts at issue that you never heard from a tire expert. You were promised that you would hear that that bolt immediately caused the failure, and no one said it. No one. Plaintiffs brought to you and I'll get to it in a second. But plaintiffs brought to you Mr. Cottles, who had in the industry 20 years of designing tires in different countries, different lands, of tire failure analysis. We'll get to more of his qualifications in a second. But plaintiffs brought you that. Plaintiffs also brought you Mr. Zembower from Florida. He's had more experience in maintaining tires in fleets than one can imagine. Silvi brought to you Scott Keck,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "Answer: 120, but we don't set it to the max." That was the way this trial began. That is what Mr. Scott Keck told us, literally the first witness on the stand. (Videotape excerpt was played.) MR. BALL: He said 120 at trial or he says 120 at trial. He says 110 to 120 in deposition. You're going to find out later that he said 105. This is him in trial: "Question: 105 was not the inflation pressure that you wanted to have in the tires on Truck 118; is that correct?" "Answer: That is correct." That's the guy who is tasked with making sure the entire fleet is worthy and safe. Mr. Desmond. We heard from Desmond quite a bit. Mr. Desmond, as you will 	60

1	61 was given the duty to collect all the	•	"Question: Say that again.	63
2	evidence.	2	"Answer: I'm sure I talked to both	
3	Mr. Desmond, in his supervisor's	3	Chris Pruden and David Barrientos after	
4	report, after he collected all the	4	the fact was there an issue with this	
5	evidence, signed it on 7/31/2015, the	5	truck before it left."	
6	date of the accident. Mr. Desmond came	6	Remember, I'm asking him that to	
7	up with the understanding of the	7	find out what did you figure out, or to	
8	analysis of the accident as, "Don't	8	show that he wasn't doing an	
9	believe any debris was in the road."	9	investigation. That's different,	
10	I'll be honest with you, I don't	10	though, than what Mr. Desmond told us in	
11	know what it means. Do you mean that	11	his deposition.	
12	the tire is not in the road? Do you	12	(Videotape excerpt was played.)	
13	mean that the debris that Silvi says	13	MR. BALL: Never had a	
14	they hit was not in the road?	14	conversation, wouldn't know what the	
15	I don't know. But that was what he	15	conversation was about. But in trial,	
16	came up with in about six hours worth of	16	he'll sit here and say, "No, no. I	
17	his analysis investigation.	17	talked to them, I talked to them, and	
18	What I was more concerned with is	18	they said everything is fine."	
19	what Desmond's direct orders were as it	19	Mr. Desmond takes this policy to	
20	concerned what he was supposed to gather	20	heart, too, do not admit fault. That is	
21	for that investigation.	21	a Silvi document. It is provided with	
22	Desmond's Direct Order Trial	22	every single Silvi employee new	
23	Testimony. "Question: You were given	23	personnel packet.	
24	direct order by Frank Flatch to gather	24	Mr. Desmond not only takes it to	
25	the communications and other just the	25	heart, it says what not to do: "Do not	
20				
4	62	4		64
1 2	communications, including phones, phone	1 2	discuss the accident with other involved	
2	communications, that would have been relevant to the issue, correct?	2	parties, witnesses, et cetera. Do not	
3 4	"Answer: Correct. I asked Chris	3 4	accept responsibility for the accident	
_	and Dave if they had any conversations,		at the scene, or argue with anyone about the accident. Do not talk about the	
5 6	· · ·	5 6	accident or give details to anyone	
7	text messages, were e-mails sent, anything relative to anything related to	7	č	
8		8	except the police."	
0 9	problems with Truck 118." He just went and asked the people.	0 9	I will tell you that he takes it to	
			heart, because what did we hear from Mr. Desmond when he took the stand	
10	That is the fox guarding the hen house. "Hey, I'd like you guys to give me	10 11		
11 12		12	within the first five minutes?	
	whatever you think it is that's going to show you all were complete idiots that	12	He's not the plant manager	
13 14	days," that is literally what he asked	13	anymore. He has been promoted through the ranks. He now oversees I think he	
14	them to do. No one expects that to	14	said five or 10 different plants. He	
16	·	16	said it's because he's done well at	
10	occur. You'll recall Mr. Desmond's	17	Silvi. Well, if that's doing well at	
18	testimony, I asked him, "Okay. As an	18	Silvi, he's done it.	
10 19	investigator, you never had a	10	Remember I asked Mr. Desmond kind	
13	conversation with Mr. Pruden on the	20	of the final question as it concerned	
		20	his investigation. I'll come back to	
20		21		
20 21	topic of whether there was a problem	21 22	•	
20 21 22	topic of whether there was a problem with that truck, Truck 118, correct?"	22	that in a second.	
20 21 22 23	topic of whether there was a problem with that truck, Truck 118, correct?" His answer: "I'm sure we discussed	22 23	that in a second. Let's go to Mr. Pruden. Called	
20 21 22	topic of whether there was a problem with that truck, Truck 118, correct?"	22	that in a second.	

1	65 he was mad.	1	there, as it concerns the phone, you'll	67
2	Well, you'll recall that I asked	2	recall that the testimony was drivers	
3	Mr. Pruden, "Question: Sir, do you	3	don't use their personal phones unless	
4	think that it would be better for Silvi	4	there's a problem, right? We heard that	
5	to properly train you in how to do a	5	from Pruden. We heard that from	
6	pretrip inspection so that you can	6	Desmond.	
7	ensure it's done properly every morning	7	I gave that to Desmond, asked him	
8	as opposed to relying on equipment	8	that very simple question in his	
9	breaking and then fixing it?	9	deposition, and I had to go to the	
10	"Answer: I think you understood	10	tape. Here is what he said. My	
11	that wrong. My company didn't wait for	11	question in trial, "Sir, you have told	
12	things to break. I mean, there's proper	12	us and Mr. Pruden has told us that	
13	maintenance that's done constantly to	13	drivers do not use their personal cell	
14	prevent this from happening."	14	phones to communicate with their	
15	This is the deposition clip that I	15	supervisors or managers unless there's a	
16	then played to remind him of what he	16	problem or issue, correct?	
17	said previously.	17	"Answer: They could use their	
18	(Videotape excerpt was played.)	18	phone for any reason, not just issues,"	
19	MR. BALL: Believability,	19	to which I responded	
20	credibility, impeachment of the witness.	20	Please play clip 27.	
20	We've done it over and over and over	20	(Videotape excerpt was played.)	
22		22	MR. BALL: Phone calls are made if	
22	again.	22	there is an issue.	
23	What matters, though, is what's the real testimony. The real testimony here	23	Mr. Desmond was tasked with making	
24	is that Chris Pruden tells us, "Man, we	24	•	
23	is that Ghils Fluden tells us, Mail, we	23	sure that he got Mr. Pruden's phone and	
1	66	1		68
1	wait on it to break sometimes, and when	1	everyone else's phone. Why? Because we know on the day of	
3	it breaks, we fix it."	2		
4	That's not preventive maintenance. That's this (indicating). You wait on	4	the accident, while Mr. Barrientos is sitting at the yard right before he	
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5		
6	this to break and bad things like this happen (indicating). That's why you	6	leaves on the third trip of the day, Barrientos and Pruden exchange four	
7	don't do that.	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			phone calls.	
8	Not a professional driver, not a	8	We know he's sitting at the yard.	
9	professional company, not someone who	9	Everybody has agreed on it. He's	
10	literally is tasked with making sure	10	sitting at the yard. They exchange four	
11	they're safe around all motorists,	11	phone calls.	
	because they know they have a	10		
12	because they know they have a	12	They say you can call for whatever	
12 13	responsibility to do it, because they	13	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos,	
12 13 14	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and	13 14	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that	
12 13 14 15	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those	13 14 15	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have	
12 13 14 15 16	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break.	13 14 15 16	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the	
12 13 14 15 16 17	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was	13 14 15 16 17	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's	13 14 15 16 17 18	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor?	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall, Mr. Desmond said that one of the things	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes. "Your supervisor on July 31st,	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall, Mr. Desmond said that one of the things that he was tasked to gather in his	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes. "Your supervisor on July 31st, 2015, was Chris Pruden. He was the one	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall, Mr. Desmond said that one of the things that he was tasked to gather in his investigation of this accident was	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes. "Your supervisor on July 31st, 2015, was Chris Pruden. He was the one working?	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall, Mr. Desmond said that one of the things that he was tasked to gather in his investigation of this accident was Mr. Pruden's phone.	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes. "Your supervisor on July 31st, 2015, was Chris Pruden. He was the one working? "Yes.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsibility to do it, because they know their trucks are big and dangerous. You don't wait on those things to break. What I really thought was interesting about Mr. Pruden's testimony, though, was if you'll recall, Mr. Desmond said that one of the things that he was tasked to gather in his investigation of this accident was	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	or however. Recall what Mr. Barrientos, however, said at trial: "You said that if you got a bolt if you would have saw the bolt, if you would have saw the bolt, what you would have done is notify your supervisor? "Answer: Yes. "Your supervisor on July 31st, 2015, was Chris Pruden. He was the one working?	

1	69 "Yes.) .	of the Silvi Concrete truck involved in	71
2	"Multiple calls, right?	2	the incident, multiple times directly	
3	"That's right.	3	before the incident and multiple times	
4	"Maybe up to four phone calls?	4	directly after the incident.	
	"Answer: Could."			
5		5	"Silvi Concrete failed to take	
6	We know something was happening	6	Mr. Pruden's phone and failed to	
7	with this truck before it left the yard	7	preserve the data."	
8	on Friday afternoon, third trip of the	8	Here are the dates. "The relevant	
9	day.	9	data on the cell phone was tampered with	
10	Everybody has got somewhere to be.	10	in November and December of 2016, such	
11	It's late. It's the weekend. It's	11	that the data was made irretrievable and	
12	shore time. It's Miller Time. It's	12	plaintiffs never had an opportunity to	
13	whatever it is other than I got to sit	13	inspect the data.	
14	here and wait on this tire to be fixed.	14	"Silvi Concrete knew this evidence	
15	Direct Command to Desmond. "He	15	was relevant to the issues in this	
16	told you to get relevant communications;	16	lawsuit. As such, you are instructed to	
17	that was the direct command, correct?	17	presume that this evidence would have	
18	"Yes, to find out if there were	18	been unfavorable to Silvi Concrete.".	
19	e-mails sent, text messages,	19	They tampered with the information	
20	conversation, anything relevant to Truck	20	on Chris Pruden's cell phone, the one	
21	118.	21	that was made to have phone calls four	
22	"We've got all these	22	times before this truck leaves the yard.	
23	communications, but whose communications	23	They tampered with and destroyed	
24	do we not have from July 31st, 2015,	24	that evidence almost more than a year	
25	sir?	25	later when they knew they were going to	
	~~~			72
1	7( "I was told there was an issue with		have to turn it over	12
1	"I was told there was an issue with	1	have to turn it over.	12
2	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone.	1 2	You bring the evidence and you let	12
2 3	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not	1 2 3	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did	12
2 3 4	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015?	1 2 3 4	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.	12
2 3 4 5	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden.	1 2 3 4 5	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the	12
2 3 4 5 6	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes?	1 2 3 4 5 6	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read,	12
2 3 4 5 6 7	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages. I am going to go to the most	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages. I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not. Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this. There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages. I am going to go to the most	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.</li> <li>Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.</li> <li>There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.</li> <li>I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.</li> <li>Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.</li> <li>There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.</li> <li>I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the conduct of a party was outrageous, you</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that Christopher Pruden's company-issued cell	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the conduct of a party was outrageous, you may award punitive damages, as well as	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone. "Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015? "Chris Pruden. "Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes? "Yes. "Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken? "That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told." You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015. Now let me read it again for you: "Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that Christopher Pruden's company-issued cell phone and all of its data needed to be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the conduct of a party was outrageous, you may award punitive damages, as well as compensatory damages, in order to punish	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone.</li> <li>"Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015?</li> <li>"Chris Pruden.</li> <li>"Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes?</li> <li>"Yes.</li> <li>"Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken?</li> <li>"That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told."</li> <li>You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015.</li> <li>Now let me read it again for you:</li> <li>"Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that Christopher Pruden's company-issued cell phone and all of its data needed to be preserved.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You bring the evidence and you let the cards fall as they may. They did not.Which brings me to a portion of the verdict form that you are going to read, in addition to all of them, but you are going to read what standard must be met in order for Silvi to be responsible for this.There are two standards that you will see in there that Silvi will need to meet in order to be responsible for certain damages.I am going to go to the most stringent one first. You will be instructed as to punitive damages. This is 8.00. It states, "If you find the conduct of a party was outrageous, you may award punitive damages, as well as compensatory damages, in order to punish that party for its conduct and to deter	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>"I was told there was an issue with Chris Pruden's phone.</li> <li>"Whose communications do not we not have from July 31st, 2015?</li> <li>"Chris Pruden.</li> <li>"Everybody but Chris Pruden? Yes?</li> <li>"Yes.</li> <li>"Because Chris Pruden's phone was broken?</li> <li>"That's what I'm told. That's what I'm told."</li> <li>You will recall what the instruction as to this phone is, and pay attention to dates, months, times, because the accident happened in July of 2015.</li> <li>Now let me read it again for you:</li> <li>"Members of the jury, Silvi concrete was informed in August of 2015 that Christopher Pruden's company-issued cell phone and all of its data needed to be preserved.</li> <li>"On the date of the incident,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>	

r		ı г		
1	73 concentrate on, because I'm going to go	• 1	under the circumstances is negligent.	75
2	to another deposition: "A party's	2	"A party also can be negligent by	
3	conduct is outrageous when it is	3	failing to act." 9-1-1.	
4	malicious, wanton, willful or	4	"A party who fails to do something	
5	oppressive, or shows reckless	5	a reasonably careful party would do	
6	indifference to the interests of	6	under the circumstances is negligent."	
7	others."	7	No reasonable professional trucking	
8	Reckless indifference. I know that	8	company does the maintenance, the	
9	tire is about to fail; it's Friday	9	pretrip inspections, what I submit to	
10	afternoon; you got a bunch of other	10	you is the knowing conduct of putting	
11	tires on the car; don't worry about it;	11	that truck on the road that day with	
12	if it fails, we'll be okay. That is	12	that tire, no reasonable company does	
13	reckless indifference.	13	that.	
14	I show you that because you will	14	No reasonable company also fails to	
15	also be instructed as to reckless	15	act when they create what they	
16	excuse me as to punitive damages	16	themselves have had to admit is an	
17	that I don't have it there, but give	17	emergent hazardous situation.	
18	me one second. I want to read the exact	18	If you find, however, outrageous	
19	portion for you.	19	conduct because it is outrageous	
20	We moved it around. Give me one	20	if you find outrageous conduct, you find	
21	second. Do I have the whole thing? I	21	this.	
22	don't have the whole thing.	22	With that, you will be instructed	
23	Reckless conduct, you will be	23	on factual cause. I'm going to do my	
24	instructed, is significantly worse than	24	best not to go into that definition, but	
25	negligent conduct.	25	if you find negligence, the bottom line	
ľ	74	i F		76
1	74 I would submit to you that the	1	is you have to find that the negligence	76
1		1	is you have to find that the negligence caused the accident, right?	76
	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have			76
2	I would submit to you that the	2	caused the accident, right?	76
2 3	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is	2 3	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was	76
2 3 4	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have	2 3 4	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent	76
2 3 4 5	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also	2 3 4 5	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of	76
2 3 4 5 6	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence.	2 3 4 5 6	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire	76
2 3 4 5 6 7	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent	2 3 4 5 6 7	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this.	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire.	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that,	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger at a particular time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that Silvi did can cause this.	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger at a particular time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that Silvi did can cause this.	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger at a particular time. "You must decide how a reasonably careful party would act under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that Silvi did can cause this. On that, you heard from Mr. Cottles. Mr. Cottles, coming back	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger at a particular time. "You must decide how a reasonably careful party would act under the circumstances established by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that Silvi did can cause this. On that, you heard from Mr. Cottles. Mr. Cottles, coming back to him, was the only tire expert that	76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I would submit to you that the evidence has shown that what they have done is reckless, what they have done is punitive, and if that is what they have done, then they have necessarily also committed negligence. If you check the most stringent one, then by virtue of that, the other lesser one is also checked. What Silvi did was not mere negligence. It was outrageous. The negligence definition, I want you to be familiar with it. This is the last time I'll show it to you: "A party must act in a reasonably careful manner to avoid injuring others. The care required varies according to the circumstances and the degree of danger at a particular time. "You must decide how a reasonably careful party would act under the circumstances established by the evidence in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	caused the accident, right? So that hole in that tire was there, as you heard adequate competent expert opinions say, for hundreds of miles. That hole being in that tire caused by whatever bolt it was, that's one of the causes of this. If Silvi just does a normal inspection, if they just almost half-ass a pretrip inspection, they'll find a bolt in their tire. But they're not even doing that, and because they leave it in there, it causes this. It causes the tire to come apart. And then Silvi doesn't call 9-1-1. Both are causes. You're not limited to one cause. Many things that Silvi did can cause this. On that, you heard from Mr. Cottles. Mr. Cottles, coming back to him, was the only tire expert that you heard in this case.	76

1	77 experience. He's done a design study in	•	about what they believe the evidence may	79
2	Japan, obviously, the United States.	2	or may not show in this case, one of the	
3	He's designed passenger development or	3	things they're going to do in response	
4	he was the head of passenger development	4	to the fact that they didn't have a tire	
5	at Goodyear, Sumitomo. He's designed	5	expert is they're going to do everything	
6	with Goodyear's counterpart. He was a	6	they can to highjack Mr. Cottles.	
7	tire failure analyst with Goodyear.	7	They're going to do everything they	
8	That was part of his job, part of his	8	can to say, "You heard Mr. Cottles was	
9	duties. He's been an independent tire	9	critical of McCarthy. Ha. It ain't our	
10	failure analyst for the last 13 years.	10	fault. It's McCarthy's fault."	
11	Mr. Cottles' resume is impeccable	11	It's going to be bold of them if	
12	as it concerns what caused that thing to	12	they do that, but if they do, if they	
13	fail that way.	13	do, I want to address it.	
14	But, again, I ask you, what did	14	First off, McCarthy is no longer in	
14	Silvi bring in front of you to even	15	this case. You have been instructed	
16	rebut his opinion?	16	accordingly by the Court and the Court	
	Mr. Cottles said that it had been	17		
17 18	in there for hundreds and hundreds of	18	has instructed you from the beginning and will at the end that this case is to	
10	miles. I want to focus on that. For	19		
20	hundreds and hundreds of miles.	20	be determined by your review of the facts in this case. Nothing outside of	
20	I don't know that I need an expert	20	this case.	
22	to tell me that that's been in there for	22	And I say that because there is not	
23	hundreds and hundreds of miles, but we	23	evidence that you can rely on in my	
23	brought one and he made sure to tell	23	review of the record that McCarthy was	
25	that to you.	25	the cause of this.	
20	that to you.	20		
l				
1	78 The wires den't fall on each other		Silvi might want you to think that	80
1	The wires don't fall on each other	1	Silvi might want you to think that,	80
2	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they	2	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or	80
2 3	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same	2 3	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You	80
2 3 4	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same	2 3 4	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence.	80
2 3 4 5	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust	2 3 4 5	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to	80
2 3 4 5 6	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously	2 3 4 5 6	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we	80
2 3 4 5 6 7	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail.	2 3 4 5 6 7	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect.	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to,	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law.	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a half-inch hole in your tire for hundreds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence. I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect. I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law. You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was not foreseeably reasonable."	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a half-inch hole in your tire for hundreds of miles as a professional truck driver.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence.</li> <li>I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect.</li> <li>I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law.</li> <li>You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was not foreseeably reasonable."</li> </ul>	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a half-inch hole in your tire for hundreds of miles as a professional truck driver. Now, I feel pretty confident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence.</li> <li>I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect.</li> <li>I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law.</li> <li>You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was not foreseeably reasonable."</li> </ul>	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a half-inch hole in your tire for hundreds of miles as a professional truck driver. Now, I feel pretty confident maybe I'm wrong on this but I feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence.</li> <li>I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect.</li> <li>I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law.</li> <li>You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was not foreseeably reasonable."</li> <li>You'll find out that if you find this tire has been misused or altered, then you can't find for a defect for</li> </ul>	80
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The wires don't fall on each other in the exact perfect rotation as they are, they don't break at the same angles, they don't break on the same lines, and they sure as heck don't rust when a bolt just instantaneously punctures a tire and causes it to fail. If they did, then you would be able to explain to me where is the rust on these wires. There's wires exposed all throughout this. But the only one that's got rust on it is the one that the hole or is the wires in the hole, because the bolt was sitting there or the whatever it is, screw, whatever, was sitting in there for hundreds of miles, going over wet concrete sites, driving in the rain. That is outrageous to keep a half-inch hole in your tire for hundreds of miles as a professional truck driver. Now, I feel pretty confident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>but McCarthy's gone. Things were or were not said after they were gone. You have to rely on this evidence.</li> <li>I would direct you specifically to one of the other instructions that we will have in this charge as it concerns manufacturing defect.</li> <li>I really don't want to read the whole thing, but I think I need to, because it's important as to the application of facts to this, the law.</li> <li>You will see on Page 10 and 11, it says for the manufacturing defect instruction that, "When the incident happened the way the that when the incident happened, the tire was not being misused or it had not been substantially altered in a way that was not foreseeably reasonable."</li> </ul>	80

1	81 I would tell you that a	• 1	Again, if they do I don't know	83
2	professional driver and a professional	2	if they will, but if they do, you think	
3	company who leaves a bolt in a tire that	2	to yourselves how many more times are	
4	drives over job sites all the time for	4	they going to put the responsibility on	
5	hundreds and hundreds of miles is not	4 5	somebody else?	
6	the correct way to use a tire.	6	It's a theme that they'll have. It	
7	The definition continues: "Silvi	7		
8		8	is not their fault. It is McCarthy's	
	Concrete must prove that at the time of		fault intentionally. It is	
9	the incident, the tire was being used	9	Bridgestone's fault intentionally. It's	
10	properly for its intended purposes and	10	Pam Reed's fault. It's somebody else's	
11	for an intended or reasonably	11	fault. "We didn't have to call 9-1-1.	
12	foreseeable purpose.	12	Somebody else call 9-1-1." It's	
13	"To prove this, Silvi Concrete must	13	anyone's fault but theirs.	
14	show that the tire was not being misused	14	I bet they even tell you it's just	
15	in a way that was neither intended nor	15	an accident, that these things just	
16	was reasonably foreseeable.	16	happen.	
17	"In this case, the plaintiffs	17	All of it will be used to distract	
18	contend that at the time of the	18	from what we are speaking of, Silvi's	
19	accident, the tire was being misused."	19	outrageous conduct in leaving a bolt and	
20	What is reasonably foreseeable is,	20	a hole in a tire for hundreds of miles,	
21	frankly, the expert they brought us,	21	knowing they should have changed it,	
22	Craig Montgomery. He's an expert in	22	knowing they should have done something	
23	removing bolts from tires and fixing	23	different, and knowing they did not.	
24	tires. That's reasonably foreseeable.	24	It's waving the shiny keys over here to	
25	That's what happens.	25	distract from the evidence over there	
ľ	82	i i		84
	02			
1	What's not reasonably foreseeable	1	(indicating).	
1 2		1 2	(indicating). Which brings me to the 9-1-1	
	What's not reasonably foreseeable			
2	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for	2	Which brings me to the 9-1-1	
2 3	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to	2 3	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence	
2 3 4	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had	2 3 4	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to	
2 3 4 5	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's	2 3 4 5	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do.	
2 3 4 5 6	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave	2 3 4 5 6	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as,	
2 3 4 5 6 7	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and	2 3 4 5 6 7	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes."	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen. call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a substantial alteration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses say they wouldn't call 9-1-1 today. We	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a substantial alteration. I read Bridgestone-Bandag, those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses say they wouldn't call 9-1-1 today. We heard Silvi witnesses say, "Well, I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a substantial alteration. I read Bridgestone-Bandag, those words. I don't know, but there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses say they wouldn't call 9-1-1 today. We heard Silvi witnesses say, "Well, I didn't have to call 9-1-1. I thought	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a substantial alteration. I read Bridgestone-Bandag, those words. I don't know, but there's a chance that Silvi gets up here and tries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses say they wouldn't call 9-1-1 today. We heard Silvi witnesses say, "Well, I didn't have to call 9-1-1. I thought somebody else called 9-1-1."	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What's not reasonably foreseeable is keeping the bolt in the tire for hundreds of miles and waiting on it to fail. So simply because it may have had a bolt in it doesn't mean it's reasonably foreseeable that they leave it in there for hundreds of mile and wait for it to fail. Silvi has not brought an expert to prove this to you in any way, shape, form, or fashion. Lastly, "Silvi Concrete must also show that when it used the product, it had not been substantially altered since it left McCarthy or Bridgestone-Bandag's control." I'll stop there. A bolt for a hundred miles everyone would agree is a substantial alteration. I read Bridgestone-Bandag, those words. I don't know, but there's a chance that Silvi gets up here and tries to argue that Bridgestone, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Which brings me to the 9-1-1 issue. Remember, the negligence instruction was what you do or fail to do. Barrientos described this as, "Question: Running over this is a disaster waiting to happen, right? "Answer: Yes." The person at the scene who admitted finally that he saw the tread come off, saw it get thrown into the road, is the guy who says it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen. If it's a disaster waiting to happen, call 9-1-1. How do you not? What we heard after it, though, is mind-boggling. We heard Silvi witnesses say they wouldn't call 9-1-1 today. We heard Silvi witnesses say, "Well, I didn't have to call 9-1-1. I thought somebody else called 9-1-1."	

		85 .		87
1	applicable to Mrs. Reed. I would	1	was, and you heard him say he didn't	07
2	imagine Mr. Sherry will have something	2	think he'd be able to do it.	
3	to add to it.	3	Silvi brought to you a man by the	
4	Sudden Emergency in this case says,	4	name of Mr. Schorr. I bring him up	
5	"In this case, Pamela Reed claims she is	5	because I want to talk about what he	
6	not responsible for the incident because	6	didn't bring to you, what he didn't talk	
7	she faced a 'sudden emergency' and	7	about.	
8	responded reasonably under the	8	We asked him on the stand,	
9	circumstances.	9	"Mr. Schorr, you've got basically the	
10	"In order to establish she faced a	10	same speeds that Micky has. Why didn't	
11	'sudden emergency,' Pamela Reed must	11	you read any of the literature?" He	
12	prove to you all of the following:	12	said, "Because I'm not an expert in	
13	"Pamela Reed faced a 'sudden	13	that."	
14	emergency' requiring immediate	14	They bring to you half of the	
15	responsive action.	15	picture. They do it for plausible	
16	"Pamela Reed did not create the	16	deniability. They know if they bring to	
17	'sudden emergency.'	17	you someone who has read the literature,	
18	"Pamela Reed's response to the	18	seen the testing, done the testing, they	
19	'sudden emergency' was reasonable under	19	can't give that opinion.	
20	the circumstances."	20	That's why he had to say, "Heck,	
21	It required immediate action, it	21	I've never read any of it, I've never	
22	was not her tire, and her actions were	22	reviewed any of it, I ain't looking at	
23	reasonable.	23	it, it ain't my report." Half of the	
24	We know that Pamela Reed's actions	24	story.	
25	were reasonable because of the testimony	25	One of the other ways that Silvi	
		86		88
1	you heard in this case.	1	would have prevented this, let's just	
	5		······	
2	We brought in front of you, much	2	talk briefly about these (indicating).	
2 3			talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire	
	We brought in front of you, much	2	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure	
3	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert,	2 3	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it	
3	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert.	2 3 4	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure	
3 4 5	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the	2 3 4 5	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it	
3 4 5 6	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the	2 3 4 5 6	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right?	
3 4 5 6 7	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the	2 3 4 5 6 7	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos,	
3 4 5 6 7 8	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will overcorrect sometimes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't figure out whether you've got a bolt in	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will overcorrect sometimes. That is just life. You can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't figure out whether you've got a bolt in it or whether it's operating properly,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will overcorrect sometimes. That is just life. You can't expect someone in a split second, in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't figure out whether you've got a bolt in it or whether it's operating properly, really anything, in my opinion. If you	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will overcorrect sometimes. That is just life. You can't expect someone in a split second, in a split second to determine whether their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't figure out whether you've got a bolt in it or whether it's operating properly, really anything, in my opinion. If you can't figure out what pressure is on your truck, then what are you? Well, Silvi says they teach its	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We brought in front of you, much like Mr. Cottles, another expert, Mr. Gilbert. Mr. Gilbert brought to you the physical evidence from the scene, and he took the physical evidence from the scene, and then compared it to the research literature and testing over decades from the government, from car manufacturers. He took the two and compared them. And when he did that, what did he say? He said that what Pamela Reed did is what is reasonable, because people design for it. It is expected that people will try to miss something like this in the roadway, and will overcorrect sometimes. That is just life. You can't expect someone in a split second, in a split second to determine whether their car can or cannot get over it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	talk briefly about these (indicating). I know we've spoken about tire maintenance and tire pressure maintenance, but it's important as it concerns that Silvi affirmatively improperly trained David Barrientos, right? Not only did they mis-train Barrientos or fail to retrain him after they watched what he did, but they themselves don't know how to train. A pretrip inspection by the way, why is the tire maintenance, the pressure maintenance so important? Because if you can't maintain the pressure in your tires as a commercial driver, then you sure as heck can't figure out whether you've got a bolt in it or whether it's operating properly, really anything, in my opinion. If you can't figure out what pressure is on your truck, then what are you?	

r		n r		
1	89 "Question: Silvi teaches its drivers to	• 1	days, from the day of and the day before	91
2	thump their tires with a hammer to	2	the accident. Lord knows how much other	
3	determine if there's a proper inflation	3	stuff we've got. That's just from those	
4	pressure, correct?	4	two days.	
5	"Correct."	5	Had Silvi gotten institutional	
6	Mr. Barrientos. "Okay. We've	6	control of their company, they would	
7	heard a lot of testimony, and we know	7	have grounded their fleet. Had they	
8	the way you do your pretrip inspections	8	grounded their fleet, this accident	
9	is for tires, you kick the tires,	9	would not have occurred.	
10	correct?	10	Grounding a fleet doesn't mean, by	
11	"Yes."	11	the way, every single one of your	
12	Mr. Desmond. "Question: No, no,	12	truck's are done. You do them in phases	
13	it's not. It's what Silvi uses to check	13	as they talked about.	
14	their tires, right?	14	But the pretrip inspection, as it	
15	"I believe they do a visual	15	concerns generally, this is from Lew	
16	inspection.	16	Grill's website we talked about, "No one	
17	"A visual inspection of a tire?	17	can speak well, unless he thoroughly	
18	"Yes.	18	understands his subject." That is what	
19	"How is a visual inspection of a	19	Mr. Grill believes in.	
20	tire supposed to work?	20	As it concerns the pretrip	
21	"If it looks low, it's probably	21	inspections and whether anyone is doing	
22	low.	22	them correctly, the very individuals	
23	"If it looks low, it's probably	23	charged with making sure they do them	
24	low?	24	correctly had no idea what they were	
25	"Yes.	25	doing.	
ĺ	90	Ī [		92
1	"What's that based on?	1	What did Mr. Barrientos say about	
2	"The tire pressure is low, the tire	2	Mr. Pruden? "You're right, Mr. Pruden	
3	would be sinking low into the ground,	3	is not a truck driver, he doesn't know	
4	and it's probably low on tire pressure,	4	anything about trucks?	
5	and they should be inspecting it for	5	"Right."	
6	anything in the tire."	6	That's the guy who is supposed to	
7	Kick, hammer, gauge, no one at	7	be standing in the shadows looking to	
8	Silvi literally has an idea that is	8	find out if they're doing it correctly.	
9	consistent on the smallest thing within	9	Silvi violated their 30-day	
10	a pretrip inspection, which is how do	10	preventative maintenance policy. We	
11	you make sure your tires have proper	11	know that. I think the last time they	
12	inflationary pressure.	12	said that they lubed and checked these	
13	If they don't have that, why are we	13	tires was 46 days, which would have been	
14 15	to assume that they've got everything	14 15	16 days over. We're saying if you got a policy,	
	else going for them? You heard from Jay Zembower and	16	if you got this system you say you got,	
16 17	David Stopper. Silvi was at a loss of	10	if you don't use it, then what's it	
18	institutional control. Nobody within	18	worth? What is it worth?	
	-			
19 20	Silvi knew what each other was doing. We've seen the text messages where	19 20	Silvi violates its policy in pretrip inspections as it concerns	
20	the text messages, one way or the other,	20 21	contact patches. They don't roll the	
21	say you got tires failing. We talked to	21	tires forward. You can't see a third of	
23	Bridgestone, they said they're	22	the darn tire to figure out if anything	
24	underinflated. You got tires that are	23	is in it.	
25	bald. Those are text messages from two	25	A mechanic comes in, puts air, and	
			····- ····, - ···· ···	

1		93		95
• 1	doesn't look at the rest of the tire,	93	of checking your tires?	95
2	but, nonetheless, pats it on the rear	2	Hey, I've run out of gas before. I	
3	ends and says it's good to go. You	3	bet a lot of us in this room have ran	
4	can't do that and then say at the same	4	out of gas. I'll tell you what I don't	
5	time you do it correctly.	5	do. I don't drive commercial vehicles.	
6	Do you remember Desmond's take on	6	It's not my livelihood. It's not	
7	whether drivers do their pretrip	7	something that I was taught and trained	
8	inspections?	8	to do.	
9	"Did he admit to you, 'I didn't do	9	How do you say that you do a	
10	a pretrip inspection at all'?"	10	thorough pretrip inspection when you	
11	Here is his answer: "No. Usually,	11	don't even know your truck doesn't have	
12	they'll make any excuse in the book to	12	any gas in it?	
13	say that they did."	13	You'll notice that day, this is	
14	Why? "Usually, they will make any	14	where he just slashed through all of the	
15	excuse in the book to say that they	15	pretrip inspections. Come on.	
16	did."	16	This is really the evidence that	
17	ls that indicative of doing a	17	Silvi didn't do a pretrip inspection. I	
18	pretrip inspection? Don't waltz in this	18	mean it's this. It's that.	
		18	That has been there for a long	
19	courtroom and tell me how great your		Ŭ	
20	maintenance policy is and your pretrip	20	time. That is evidence of their failure	
21	inspections, and then have your guy who	21	to remove that bolt. That is evidence	
22	is supposed to make sure people are	22	of why this tire failed.	
23	doing it say, "Usually, they'll make any	23	The violation that they got for	
24	excuse in the book to say that they	24	this, briefly, it says, "Violation, tire	
25	did."	25	tread and/or sidewall separation."	
		94		96
1	This is in evidence. We didn't	1	It was pointed out a number of	
2	talk much about that. You'll recall	2	times that this was given after the	
3	Silvi was going over all of the	3	accident. I remember Silvi's attorneys	
4	maintenance reports about how well they	4	kept saying this is given after the	
5	had performed maintenance to this	5	accident. Well, of course, it is. I	
6	truck.	6	mean if you run a red light, you get a	
7	Well, Exhibit 116 has a maintenance	7	ticket after you run the red light.	
8	record from this is a maintenance	8	I don't really know what that	
9	record on the left. This is a driver	9	meant, but I agree it's after the	
10	vehicle inspection report on the right.	10	accident.	
11	You will see that they are both June	11	9-1-1. Why couldn't they have just	
	25th, 2015.	12	called 9-1-1 faster?	
12	- ,	12		
12 13	This is the inspection or the	13	It would have saved minutes,	
13	This is the inspection or the	13	It would have saved minutes,	
13 14	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos'	13 14	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an	
13 14 15	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his	13 14 15	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save	
13 14 15 16	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day.	13 14 15 16	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to	
13 14 15 16 17	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say?	13 14 15 16 17	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant?	
13 14 15 16 17 18	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection.	13 14 15 16 17 18	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection. Comments: Rep reason. Driver report	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is that all of these people said that it	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection. Comments: Rep reason. Driver report road call possibly out of fuel on Route	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is that all of these people said that it was a hazardous and emergency	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection. Comments: Rep reason. Driver report road call possibly out of fuel on Route 38. Comments: Added 15 gallons of	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is that all of these people said that it was a hazardous and emergency situation. Every single one of them	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection. Comments: Rep reason. Driver report road call possibly out of fuel on Route 38. Comments: Added 15 gallons of fuel."	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is that all of these people said that it was a hazardous and emergency situation. Every single one of them did.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This is the inspection or the maintenance record for Mr. Barrientos' truck on that day, and that is his inspection record from that day. What does the repair order say? "Complaint: Needs inspection. Comments: Rep reason. Driver report road call possibly out of fuel on Route 38. Comments: Added 15 gallons of fuel." Are you telling me that a grown man	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It would have saved minutes, multiple minutes. It happens in an instant. So why is it if we don't save an instant, then we don't have to get to that instant? Silvi, what is telling to me is that all of these people said that it was a hazardous and emergency situation. Every single one of them did. Notice, though, that none of those	

Г		97		99
1	litigation strategy is to say they	1	understanding or with the thought on the	00
2	didn't need to. Their employees at	2	proof of what the damages will be.	
3	least had to admit under oath, yeah, bad	3	The proof for the damages, I'll	
4	situation.	4	begin by saying this: You're instructed	
5	The most important employee, the	5	it's no different than the proof that	
6	vice president, told us the following as	6	you will need to go by in order to	
7	it concerns 9-1-1, and I want to read it	7	determine whether Silvi was negligent.	
8	for you: "I want to go to the audiotape	8	It is a proof of preponderance of	
9	that you heard that we played for you at	9	the evidence, more likely than not. A	
10	your deposition. We asked you at your	10	tipping of the scales, 51 percent, yes,	
11	deposition, when that call came in from	11	right, that is a preponderance of the	
12	Zach Rich and the dispatcher heard it	12	evidence.	
13	and Chris Pruden heard it, they should	13	I will read for you, as I mentioned	
14	have called 9-1-1, correct?	14	earlier, this is as to damages: "As I	
15	"Answer: I think I said yes.	15	mentioned earlier, Shanika Brown and	
16	"And I think you aid (sic) them not	16	Pamela Reed must each prove their	
17	doing it was a failure on their behalf,	17	damages by a preponderance of the	
18	correct?	18	evidence."	
19	"Answer: Like I said, had they	19	More likely than not. I say that	
20	known what was in the road, yes.	20	because I think sometimes we look at	
21	"Had they known what was in the	21	damages and we believe that there is	
22	road? You heard the audiotape, right?	22	some exalted higher standard that	
23	"I have.	23	someone must prove, you got to prove it	
24	"Half to three-quarters of the tire	24	to me beyond a reasonable doubt, or I	
25	is in the road and Hondas are hitting	25	need a crystal ball in here to show you	
		98		100
1	it. They knew it was in the road,	1	exactly what will happen in the future.	
2	right?	2	That is not it. It is a	
3	"Answer: Yes.	3	preponderance of the evidence as it	
4	"Mr. Barrientos should have called	4	concerns damages. So the question on	
5	9-1-1, right?	5	that is more likely than not, more	
6	"He should have.	6	likely than not will Shanika require the	
7	"Mr. Rich should have called 9-1-1,	7	medical care that Dr. Root has laid out	
8	right?	8	in his plan. Not beyond a reasonable	
9	"He should have.	9	doubt will that need to be or not with a	
10	"It was a complete failure on their	10	crystal ball.	
11	parts not to do that, right?	11	It is more likely than not, a	
12	"It's what I said.	12	tipping of the scales, will Shanika	
13	"Unacceptable, I think, is the word	13	require the medical care that Dr. Root	
14	you used?	14	has laid out in his plan.	
15	"Okay.	15	I say that because Silvi is going	
16	"You agree now?	16	to ask you and what they have argued in	
17	"That's what I said."	17	this case thus far is Silvi will ask you	
18	That is their vice president. I	18	to hope for the best and not prepare for	
19	believe their vice president over their	19	the worst.	
20	lawyers. When they get up here and tell	20	They want you to believe that your	
21	you it wouldn't matter, I believe that	21	damages should be based upon what the	
22	guy. I had him under oath. He had to	22	greatest outcome or scenario they can	
23	tell the truth.	23	come up with in their head is.	
		i 1		
24	The damages in this case. I want	24	We have brought before you people	
	The damages in this case. I want to begin the damages with an	24 25	We have brought before you people who have said to a reasonable degree of	

,				
1	101 medical certainty, it is more likely	• 1	1 think she deserves the money that the	03
2	than not Shanika will need this stuff.	2	other doctors say she does as it	
3	They have said, "No, she doesn't	3	concerns her medical. Some way or	
4	need almost all of it, because we think	4	another, it's not their fault.	
5	everything is going to be fine."	5	But these damages right here, these	
6	That's why I asked the question,	6	pale in comparison to the other	
7	"Are you writing the check?" Because if	7	damages.	
8	everything is not the way they want it	8	You will have an instruction that	
9	to be, then she is not with those she	9	is called lump sum damages and in	
10	does not get what he said she should.	10	that would you turn the Elmo on?	
11	On that, as it concerns medical, I	11	Before we turn the Elmo on, let me	
12	want to make sure we're very clear on	12	get to this picture.	
13	numbers. I'm going to tell you before I	13	THE COURT: Hold on just a minute.	
14	write these numbers, these numbers are	14	(Pause.)	
15	what it takes to give these numbers	15	MR. BALL: The medical damages pale	
16	represent what will be given to other	16	in comparison to what you'll be asked to	
17	doctors, what it will take to take care	17	assign a value to.	
18	of her more likely than not.	18	I put this picture on the board	
19	These numbers do not and I'll	19	because it is just a single reminder of	
20	come to it in a second they do not in	20	how difficult Shanika's life will be.	
21	any way whatsoever represent her pain,	21	This is one part, and it's a big	
22	her suffering, embarrassment,	22	one. Dealing with A.B. and A.B. not	
23	humiliation. They do not. I will speak	23	having a leg. Dealing with her other	
24	to you about those in a little bit.	24	three children. I don't pretend to know	
25	The numbers that it will take, as	25	how difficult it is to be a mother, but	
	,,		,	
	102	4		04
1 2	Dr. Root has said, more likely than not	1 2	it seems pretty easily understood to me that being a mother and having one arm	
2	to a medical degree of certainty for the next 51 years is \$12,636,751. That's	2	is real difficult to do.	
4	medical.	4	That's part of the damages that you	
_	As it concerns lost wages, those	5	will be asked to assign values and	
5 6	numbers are 623,293 to 1,128,733.	6	numbers to. That's part of the damages	
7	As it concerns the wages, you heard	7	that pale or these medicals pale in	
8	Shanika, you heard her say that she	8	comparison to that.	
9	wants to work again. I hope she works	9	If we could, I'd like to use the	
10	again. She hopes she works again.	10	Elmo there.	
10	These numbers are not based upon	10	"Lump Sum Damages. The damages	
12	what Silvi wants you to believe, that	12	recoverable by Shanika Brown and Pamela	
13	everything will be perfect.	13	Reed in this case and the items that go	
14	They are based upon, to a	14	to make them up," each of which I will	
15	reasonable degree of medical certainty,	15	discuss separately, "are as follows."	
			There will be definitions for them,	
16	will she need them more likely than not	16 17		
17	considering her circumstances.	17 10	but I think they're pretty intuitive.	
18 10	Silvi's numbers, I point out again,	18 10	It's pretty easy to understand.	
19 20	include what they think would be paid by	19 20	The future medical expenses, we've	
20 21	the government or would be paid by		spoken about that.	
21	private insurance.	21 22	Future lost earnings and lost	
22	That's another way Silvi is going		earnings capacity, we've spoken about	
23 24	to tell you that they are escaping	23 24	that.	
24 25	liability on this case. It's somebody else's fault, and if it's not, we don't	24 25	It's the next four: "Past and future pain and suffering; past and	
<u> </u>		20	ataro pan ana sunonny, past and	

١	105	л г	407
1	105 future loss of life's pleasures; past	1	107 These are things that hurt so much
2	and future embarrassment and humiliation	2	for me to even recall, but it is things
3	for Shanika Brown; disfigurement of	3	that you must take into consideration
4	Shanika Brown."	4	when you are thinking about the
5	I can't imagine what that is. It	5	long-term effects of what has occurred
6	is difficult to wrap my brain around.	6	here.
7	It is your job to figure that out. I do	7	This injury for Shanika is
8	not envy you for having that job.	8	forever. The pain and suffering that
9	I can tell you that as it concerns	9	she spoke about, she said that she woke
10	what we have spoken about, I'm not going	10	up with some of an arm, went to sleep,
11	to go over it verbatim or ad nauseam	11	woke up again with less of an arm. How
12	again.	12	do you process that?
13	I think we saw Ms. Brown on the	13	The pain that she said she's had
14	stand. I would remind you that	14	has never stopped. She scratches her
15	Ms. Brown told us, Shanika told us she	15	fingers. She wants to itch her wrist.
16	can't as much as dress herself now. The	16	The pain that's been associated
17	humiliation that must come with that.	17	with merely getting a prosthetic has
18	Even when you're not with someone,	18	been too much to get the prosthetic,
19	when you are alone and you can't dress	19	even though she's told you she's getting
20	yourself, the humiliation that must come	20	it. What pain will be associated with
21	with that is something we don't see, but	21	it when she does get it?
22	it is something that you are asked to	22	I say that, because when we look at
23	think about.	23	Shanika and her life today, we do not
24	She cannot hug her children with	24	look at her life on the confines or in
25	two arms. She couldn't turn the pages	25	the confines of this courtroom.
1	106 of the notebook that was given to her,	1	108 You are given the task to look at
2	and she also can't turn the pages of her	2	her life as a whole from here out, not
3	hymnal on Sunday.	3	here today. These damages are forever
4	She said she doesn't want to have	4	for Shanika, and forever is 51 years we
5	pictures of her taken anymore. That	5	know from the life expectancy.
6	says a lot about the change in someone	6	And we've been told that 51 years,
7	when they don't want to be photographed	7	the life expectancy hasn't been reduced,
8	again because they can't look at	8	which is a good thing. That's a good
о 9	themselves.	9	thing. It's a blessed thing. It's
			ů ů
10	Shanika because of this has not been afforded the basic decencies that	10	great.
11		11	But with it, you must understand 51
12	we all would or would not have on a	12	years of more problems will come and go
13	daily basis.	13	and come and go and come and go and come
14	(Pause.)	14	and go. What she has now, what will she
15	Shanika told you a story about when	15	have then?
16	she goes out and she has to come back	16	That is why I say you look at
17	in, she has to plan her day out with her	17	Shanika when you are doing your
18	kids and make it back at the right time;	18	deliberations not as today but as
19	otherwise, she would pee herself. I	19	forever, forever for Shanika.
20	cannot imagine what that is. It is	20	Mr. Farrar will give these numbers
21	something that we take for granted.	21	again when we get up. Forever being 51
22	She told you that when she eats	22	years for Shanika, thinking about it in
23	alone with her kids, everybody cuts	23	units of time, it's 51 Christmases.
24	their kid's food. She has to bite it	24	It's 51 Easters. It's 51 New Year's
25	with her teeth.	25	days. It's 51 birthdays, and when you

	100	Г		4.4
1	109 put everybody's birthday in her family,	1	Please be seated and cease all	11
2	it's 255 between her and her children.	2	conversation.	
3	It is 612 months. It is 2,652	3	THE COURT: We have our jury here.	
4	Sundays. It is 18,651 days. It is	4	We're handing out Jess, what did I	
5	446,760 hours. It is 26,805,600	5	say that would be?	
6	minutes. It is 31,536,000 seconds per	6	We're handing out to you Court	
7	year, and then multiply play that by 51,	7	Exhibit No. 4, which is essentially what	
8	and finally, it is 1.678 billion	8	I told you it would be. It's the jury	
9	seconds, 51.	9	instructions without the strike-throughs	
10	She said she doesn't feel pain not	10	and bolding and everything like that,	
11	for one second.	11	and that's Court Exhibit No. 4 just so	
12	This will be yours to decide. It	12	you have a copy.	
13	is yours. What it is is your decision.	13	MR. SHERRY: Thank you.	
14	I again thank you so much for being	14	THE COURT: And Ms. Colliver will	
15	as attentive as you have been and	15	also e-mail that to you so you have it	
16	listening to all of our evidence.	16	in that format.	
17	I thank you on behalf of Shanika	17	MR. SHERRY: Thank you.	
18	and, again, on behalf of counsel in this	18	THE COURT: Is there something you	
19	case. Thank you.	19	wanted to say?	
20	THE COURT: Members of the jury,	20	MR. ZINGARINI: Yes, Your Honor. I	
21	we're going to take a 15-minute break	21	just wanted to place on the record an	
22	because you've been sitting for a long	22	objection regarding commentary made by	
23	time. So we'll stand in recess for 15	23	Mr. Ball during his closing regarding	
24	minutes.	24	Mr. Lieberman.	
25	THE COURT OFFICER: The Court	25	Mr. Lieberman's testimony was	
ļ				
1	110 stands in a 15-minute recess.	1	1 [·] stricken by this Court. I don't think	12
2	All rise as the jury exits the	2	it's fair to comment upon it in front of	
3	room.	3	the jury. They requested that it be	
4	(The jury exited the courtroom.)	4	barred, so you can't take advantage of	
5	THE COURT OFFICER: You may be	5	that and also talk about it.	
6	seated.	6	THE COURT: Response.	
7	THE COURT: I'm just trying to	7	MR. BALL: Your Honor, I was very	
8	figure out schedule. So the lunches are	8	cognizant of what your ruling was. I	
9	due to arrive at 12:30, but we can also	9	was very cognizant of what the jury	
10	try to call and move it back to 1:00.	10	heard.	
11	Remember, I said I wasn't timing	11	I find nothing improper about me	
12	people, but do you happen to have an	12	saying, "You heard from Mr. Lieberman	
13	estimate, Mr. Sherry?	13	that he had never done anything in the	
14	MR. SHERRY: For myself, Your	14	tire field," which is essentially what I	
15	Honor, less than 40 minutes.	15	said, one sentence, "and then you heard	
16	THE COURT: Okay. So that's almost	16	nothing else from him."	
17	perfect for when the lunches arrive, and	17	That happened in front of the jury.	
18	we'll figure out how to communicate with	18	I did not improperly comment on that	
19	the lunch people. Okay. So we'll see	19	whatsoever in any way, shape, form, or	
20	you at 12:05.	20	fashion.	
20	THE COURT OFFICER: Court stands in	20	THE COURT: That objection is	
22	a 15-minute recess until 12:05.	22	overruled, although you're free to talk	
23	(Recess taken.)	23	about it if you want.	
	(In open court.)	24	I think it would actually be more	
24	(	- '		
24 25	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.	25	harmful to you if I turned to the jury	

1	and said, "Dr. Lieberman was struck	113	damages.	115
2	because he lacked qualifications, he	2	For liability, we showed you	
3	didn't use the scientific method, and	3	witnesses from Silvi from David	
4	his testimony would be confusing and	4	Barrientos on up.	
5	misleading." I think that would hurt	5	We wanted you to see that the July	
6	you more.	6	31st, 2015, catastrophe was not an	
7	Do you want me to give that	7	aberration. It wasn't an accident. It	
8	instruction?	8	was a preventable disaster resulting	
9	MR. ZINGARINI: No. That's fine.	9	from a company that was reckless to its	
10	THE COURT: Okay. So let's go	10	core.	
11	bring our jury in.	11	Mr. Ball went through subjects with	
12	My plan, just so everybody can kind	12	you. Because it's a catastrophic	
13	of plan, is to give the jury their lunch	13	personal injury, I'm going to go through	
14	after Mr. Sherry's closing so that	14	it by people, by the witnesses.	
15	they're not hungry during Silvi's	15	The first person, Scott Keck,	
16		16	Silvi's fleet manager, we called him in	
17	closing. MR. CORCORAN: Understood.	17	our case. You'll recall that Mr. Keck	
	THE COURT: Okay.			
18	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise as the	18 19	had a bit of a problem with consistency.	
19			Before we go into his testimony,	
20	jury enters.	20	there's a quote that's been attributed	
21	(The jury entered the courtroom.)	21	to a lot of people, a lot of people over	
22	THE COURT OFFICER: You may be	22	the centuries. It's been attributed to	
23	seated.	23	Abraham Lincoln, Martin Luther King,	
24	THE COURT: We can proceed to	24	JFK.	
25	which Lavaliere do you have, Mr. Sherry?	25	But it goes like this: "If you	
		114		116
1	MR. SHERRY: Four, Your Honor.	1	have integrity in life, very little else	
2	THE COURT: Okay. We'll go to	2	matters. But if you don't have	
3	closing statements on behalf of Pamela	3	integrity, nothing else matters."	
4	Reed by Mr. Sherry.	4	Here is what Mr. Keck told you	
5	MR. SHERRY: Good afternoon.	5	all. In his dep, his said that a	
6	This is the second of three times	6	pretrip inspection should take 15	
7	that I get to speak to you directly. I	7	minutes. He said that. You saw it.	
8	will not waste your time.	8	Then he came into court and tried	
9	We have been in trial for	9	to convince you all that you can do it	
10	approximately six weeks, and because it	10	in five minutes, and you remember the	
11	has been six weeks, it might be a	11	exercise, when I put up three pictures	
12	natural reaction to think this case is	12	of concrete trucks and saying David	
13	complicated.	13	Barrientos can inspect all of those in	
14	As you've seen, it is not	14	15 minutes, and he started to back off.	
15	complicated. There is nothing	15	He also tried to convince you at	
16	complicated about this case.	16	trial that the air pressure is properly	
17	I will start by saying I thank you	17	set at 105, and, as Mr. Ball pointed	
18	all in advance for your attention and	18	out, he had to be reminded in his sworn	
19	your stamina, and I will also say it is	19	deposition that he took under oath that	
20	a professional pleasure to try a case	20	110 to 120 is what he said.	
21	alongside Mr. Ball and Mr. Farrar and	21	Why would he do that? He knows	
22	represent the matriarch of this family,	22	that his deposition is in videotape form	
23	Pamela Reed.	23	and that we have it. Hoping that we're	
24	I'm going to break this down into	24	clumsy, hoping we can't call him on it?	
25	two basic sections, liability and	25	Then, in trying to explain why a	
	•••			

Г				
1	117 different Bridgestone tire blowout that	′ 1	1 guidelines state anywhere in there kick	119
2	occurred on a different vehicle due to	2	the tires to check the air pressure?	
3	low pressure that Silvi has text	3	"Answer: No. But it doesn't state	
4	messages about a day before the	4	you need an air pressure gauge.	
5	accident, Mr. Keck showed you this	5	"Question: Is there anything in	
6	photo.	6	the federal guidelines that talk about	
7	Put it up.	7	using a hammer to check the air	
8	Remember that? I guess Mr. Keck	8	pressure?	
9	didn't know that I knew about M shift	9	"No.	
10	46, and that's how you dump it with a	10	"Is there anything in the federal	
11	man outside that truck.	11	guidelines about licking the tire to	
12	And what did Mr. Keck try to tell	12	check the air pressure?	
13	you? Oh, that's a staged photograph.	13	"No.	
14	That's not dirt coming out of the truck	14	"How about hugging the tire to	
15	right there.	15	check the air pressure?	
16	Assess his credibility. Ask	16	"No.	
17	yourself would Mr. Keck put himself in	17	"Where are you told in terms of	
18	these positions if he had a genuine	18	governmental entities how to check the	
19	story to tell you all, or would he goes	19	air pressure?	
20	to lengths only to be discredited by	20	"I don't.	
21	photographic evidence including the	21	"How about the CDL manual?	
22	photos he wants you to see? Assess	22	"Answer: That's for the trained	
23	that.	23	driver who takes the test.	
24	Mr. Keck thought it was the policy	24	"Question: And the New Jersey CDL	
25	at Silvi I mean after all he's the	25	manual wants the people who take the	
20				
	118			120
1	fleet manager that drivers should be	1	tests to do it properly?	
2	whacking tires with a mallet. Not only	2	"Answer: Yes, they have to do it	
3	is that not permissible under the CDL	3	to pass it.	
4	manuals which we talked about ad	4	"Question: Right. And the person	
5	infinitum during this case, it wasn't	5	who is going to be with them when they	
6	being done at Silvi.	6	take that test is someone that works for	
7	I want to give Mr. Keck some	7	the government, right?	
8	credit, just a modicum of credit. He	8	"Answer: I assume.	
9	did come around to state that it was	9	"Question: Right. And that person	
10	unacceptable at Silvi that	10	wants to be safe, too, right?	
11	Mr. Barrientos, who we'll talk about at	11	"Answer: I assume.	
12	length later, wasn't following the CDL	12	"Question: And the motoring public	
13	manuals.	13	should be made safe too, if possible,	
14	Let's put that testimony up.	14	right?	
15	This is me asking him. "Question:	15	"Answer: Yes.	
16	Sir, you didn't actually know until the	16	"So that CDL manual should be	
17	second time we deposed you in this case	17	followed as you testified to in your	
18	about that language in the CDL manual	18	deposition, right?	
19	about the air gauge, right?	19	"Answer: Yes.	
20	"That's right.	20	"Mr. Barrientos didn't, correct?"	
21	"Question: And you are the fleet	21	There was an objection, and I state	
22	manager for Silvi?	22	it again.	
23	"Answer: I follow the federal	23	"And Mr. Barrientos didn't,	
24	guidelines, not a driver's state test.	24	correct?	
25	"Question: Do the federal	25	"According to his testimony, no.	
- I.		1 1		

_				
1	"Question: That's unacceptable at	121 .	What did Barrientos say about	123
2	Silvi, right?	2	Pruden? And Barrientos is right on	
3	"Answer: Yes."	3	that. He doesn't know anything about	
4	Barrientos' conduct is	4	trucks, and they put him in charge day	
5	unacceptable. There's no ambiguity	5	in, day out of supervising drivers how	
6	that's the fleet manager saying that	6	to do a proper pretrip inspection.	
7	under oath before your very eyes.	7	That's their check. That's the way	
8	Finally, Mr. Keck admitted that	8	they make sure drivers are doing it	
9	Mr. Ball hit on it Silvi doesn't use	9	right, putting somebody in charge who is	
10	other companies' recapped tires because	10	ignorant of what is occurring before	
11	they don't know the history of the tire.	11	him. No ability to step in and say	
12	They don't know what that tire was put	12	"Whoa, whoa, that's not how to do it.	
13	through before it was recapped.	13	Wrong. Where did you learn how to do	
14	Oh, but they made an exception for	14	that? Don't do it that way."	
15	this tire, didn't they? They made an	15	On the issue of how long a pretrip	
16	exception for this tire. They kept this	16	inspection should take, you'll recall	
17	tire, and, as a result, this tire that	17	Pruden's meltdown when he was confronted	
18	became a disaster waiting to happen	18	by a five-minute pretrip inspection.	
19	remained on the truck.	19	You'll remember what he said, "Five	
20	Had Keck merely insisted that his	20	minute, four minute, three minute," and	
20	underlings follow Silvi's policy, this	20	I started writing that down. He didn't	
22	tire is never on the truck. The	22	like that very much.	
23	catastrophe never happens.	22	But that's reality at Silvi, don't	
23	Chris Pruden, the phone tamperer	23	take 15, 20 minutes to check an 80,000	
25	who took the witness stand and said,	25	pound concrete truck. Five minutes,	
25	who took the withess stand and said,		pound concrete truck. The minutes,	
		122		124
1	"I'm angry." Oh, he's angry. He's	1	four, minutes, three, minutes, get it	
2	angry with two legs, two arms, no PTSD.	2	out. We got concrete we have to	
3	And he's angry?	3	deliver. We have to make money. We	
4	Not angry for the devastation	4	bonus our drivers based on how much	
5	caused by Silvi. Just angry about how	5	concrete they deliver, not how long they	
6	his company's testimony under oath is	6	sit around making sure the truck is	
7	coming across. He made that very clear.	7	safe.	
8	Clearly, Pruden has no clue what	8	Pruden somehow forgot in his first	
9	he's looking at in terms of a pretrip	9	deposition that he had been told in an	
10	inspection. You all remember that. No	10	obscenity-laced phone call from Zach	
11	idea what he's looking at.	11	Rich that the tread is in the roadway	
12	Never received any training from	12	causing havoc.	
13	Silvi how to do his job and, more	13	When he was reminded, it was "Oh,	
14	importantly, because he's angry, never	14	that tread, oh, now I remember."	
15	brought up to Silvi, "Hey, I'm in charge	15	Ridiculous, ridiculous testimony from	
16	of making sure drivers do a pretrip	16	someone who never should have been	
17	inspection properly. I don't know what	17	placed in the position of authority.	
18	I'm looking at. Please train me."	18	At Silvi, he's promoted. His life	
19	Anything like that come out of	19	improves following the catastrophe;	
20	Chris Pruden's mouth? No, never asked.	20	whereas the Reed-Brown family, PTSD	
	Happy to do his job properly supervising	21	multi-generational limb loss.	
21			Nort Dovid Parriantas	
21 22	drivers how to do to pretrip	22	Next, David Barrientos,	
21	inspections, while I'm certainly	22 23	Mr. Five-Minute Pretrip Inspection. Two	
21 22				

1 2		125		127
2	truck.	125	things are supposed to be done because	127
	What happens? They sit him down,	2	they haven't read the CDL manuals, this	
3	say, "Whoa, what's going on here? Did	3	happens.	
4	you do a pretrip inspection?" No,	4	But it's not accidental. It's a	
5	nothing.	5	company built to fail, to cause	
6	Perhaps that's why Zach Rich	6	catastrophe.	
7	described him as easy-going. Remember	7	Speaking of supervision, what does	
8	that? It was at the start of trial,	8	Barrientos think about Pruden? I	
9	"You remember seeing David Barrientos at	9	believe this deserves to be repeated.	
10	the scene?" He first tried to say that	10	He thinks that Pruden doesn't know	
11	he's a good guy, and then I confronted	11	anything about trucks, and he's right,	
12	him, "You never met him before." His	12	and Pruden never supervises the drivers.	
13	response? "Oh, he's easy-going."	13	You remember when I had Pruden pick	
14	Easy-going when you throw that onto	14	up the hammer and said, "Show me how you	
15	295 causing havoc. Just another day for	15	hit the tire, Mr. Pruden,"	
16	David Barrientos, I guess.	16	(indicating).	
17	Mr. Barrientos tried to sell you	17	What did Barrientos say about	
18	the story about how even though he	18	that? They're never using the hammers	
19	testifies he takes five minutes to do a	19	over there. They're just kicking.	
20	pretrip inspection and doesn't check the	20	Mr. Pruden might know that, but he's	
21	contact patch, he spends most of his day	21	never watching. He's in his office.	
22	just gazing at the tires, just washing	22	Now, what happened as soon as	
23	and gazing at the tires.	23	Barrientos felt that blowout and saw the	
24	Of course, when he was confronted	24	tread go off the left side of his truck,	
25	by the photograph put it up, zoom	25	as he admitted, and admitted that as	
1	in all the way up to the top of the	126	soon as he saw it go off his truck, he	128
2	tread, Barrientos thought to tell you,	2	knew that this was a disaster waiting to	
3	"Oh, that's road debris." Not even Lew	3	happen? What's he do? He pulls over	
4	Grill bought that.	Ũ		
	ern beugin undu	4	the side of the road	
5	So is it any surprise that David	4	the side of the road.	
5	So is it any surprise that David Barrientos is driving around hundreds of	5	I got no problem with that driver	
6	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of	5	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the	
6 7	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail	5 6 7	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that.	
6 7 8	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire?	5 6 7 8	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1	
6 7 8 9	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not	5 6 7 8 9	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday	
6 7 8 9 10	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving	5 6 7 8 9 10	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar	
6 7 8 9 10 11	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented. I can deal with accidents. We all	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete. I'm in Truck 118. I just threw a tread	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented. I can deal with accidents. We all can understand accidents. But when it's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete. I'm in Truck 118. I just threw a tread that's a disaster waiting to happen in	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented. I can deal with accidents. We all can understand accidents. But when it's there for hundreds of miles and you're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete. I'm in Truck 118. I just threw a tread that's a disaster waiting to happen in the middle of 295. Get out here and	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented. I can deal with accidents. We all can understand accidents. But when it's there for hundreds of miles and you're not checking and the people supervising	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete. I'm in Truck 118. I just threw a tread that's a disaster waiting to happen in the middle of 295. Get out here and protect human life."	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barrientos is driving around hundreds of miles with a bolt in one tire and a nail in a different tire? Of course not. If you're not checking your tires and you're driving around on construction sites, as these heavy duty vehicles do every day, you're going to have these things. When you don't do a pretrip inspection of a concrete truck carefully, thoroughly, professionally, this happens, and when it happens, it's not an accident. It's a disaster you should have prevented. I can deal with accidents. We all can understand accidents. But when it's there for hundreds of miles and you're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I got no problem with that driver action. Pulling over to the side of the road immediately, no problem about that. But then he fails to call 9-1-1 immediately. And you heard on Monday from Steve Schorr when Mr. Farrar crossed him with the New Jersey CDL manual, it states drivers should call authorities before they even get out of their cab. Not Mr. Barrientos, no. No. Mr. Barrientos isn't calling the police. He never calls the police. He never calls 9-1-1, saying, "I'm David Barrientos. I work for Silvi Concrete. I'm in Truck 118. I just threw a tread that's a disaster waiting to happen in the middle of 295. Get out here and	

Г	10			
1	12 [.] Fairless Hills. What is that? They	9 <b>.</b> 1	1 longer" anybody believe that?	131
2	can't do anything. We've been through	2	What's more likely? "Got the truck	
3	this multiple times at trial. They	3	loaded, we got a bolt, it's going to	
4	can't do anything.	4	spoil. What do we do? It's held for a	
5	He's easy-going. Why shouldn't he	5	couple of hundred miles so far. Get it	
6	be? He is safely by the side of the	6	out."	
7	road. It's not like he's out on 295	7	Before we leave Mr. Barrientos,	
8	having to contend with this. Just	8	let's talk about how the police	
9	another day for Mr. Barrientos. He's	9	interacted with Mr. Barrientos.	
10	safe and secure.	10	Remember when Mr. Zingarini, one of	
11	But before we leave Mr. Barrientos,	11	Silvi's counsel, was questioning	
12	lest we forget Mr. Barrientos, who never	12	Sergeant Burns about, "Oh, you didn't	
13	used this air gauge as mandated by the	13	give him a citation," and just left it	
14	Pennsylvania and New Jersey CDL manuals,	14	at that. Then I had to get up and ask	
15	he nevertheless told you he didn't want	15	the sergeant, "Why didn't you give him a	
16	that tire to be 105. Did want that.	16	citation? Because the violation is	
17	Couldn't know it because he didn't use	17	worse, that's why." What did	
18	an air gauge. Didn't want it. Silvi	18	Mr. Barrientos get? A violation. It's	
19	set it at that. He knew that's not	19	worse.	
20	safe.	20	Onward to Frank King, the man in	
21	You know what's also not safe?	21	charge of policies and procedures at	
22	Driving around on a tire with a bolt in	22	Silvi, including pretrip inspections.	
23	it. But, hey, if you got concrete to	23	You'll recall he kept mumbling, "We	
24	deliver, and there's no mechanic on	24	could have done a better job." What	
25	site, just as there wasn't on July 31st,	25	does he know about pretrip inspections?	
1	13 2015, what are you going to do?	1	1 Nothing. Was he involved in creating	132
2	Barrientos gets a bonus if he	2	the policies regarding pretrip	
3	delivers that concrete, and there are	3	inspections at Silvi? No. Does he know	
4	four phone calls to Chris Pruden before	4	if the person held a CDL license who	
5	he sets out on that last fateful trip.	5	helped develop those policies? No.	
6	In the deposition that Mr. Ball	6	And this policy man at Silvi, when	
7	referenced with Mr. Desmond, what did he	7	did this policy man learn about how a	
8	say? "The driver doesn't call a	8	pretrip inspection should be performed	
9	supervisor unless there's an issue."	9	as set forth in the New Jersey CDL	
10	What did Mr. Desmond try to tell	10	manual, which is free and can be	
11	you? "Oh, it was about the Eagles."	11	downloaded by anybody on line, you know,	
12	I'm sure it was, absolutely, that's what	12	that CDL manual that states the driver	
13	those calls were about.	13	gets no credit for kicking?	
14	First call, "Hey, Dave. It's	14	He learned about that two years	
15	Chris. What do you think about the	15	after this family is literally ripped to	
16	Eagles this year?" Second call, two	16	pieces.	
17	minutes later, "Chris, see, the thing of	17	When did he learn, this policy man,	
18	it is I really like the offensive line."	18	about the Pennsylvania CDL manual that	
19	Third time, "Dave, I know you're very,	19	says don't kick, don't mallet, use the	
20	very busy. I got to ask, the special	20	air gauge? When did he learn about	
20	teams this year, I mean are we going to	20	that, ladies and gentlemen?	
22	have some kickers? There's this guy	22	More than three years after this	
23	named Lew Grill out west. He kicks.	23	family is ripped to pieces. He learned	
23	Maybe we should get him." Fourth phone	23	about that in this trial before your	
	call, "Hey, man, Chip Kelly, how much	25	eyes, and he's in charge at Silvi of	
25	, <u>,</u> , , <u>,</u> ,,,,,,		, ,	

1	policies and procedures, including	133 .	Like Pruden, he was tasked with	135
2	pretrip inspections.	2	supervising drivers, make sure they did	
3	That's not negligence. That's	3	a pretrip inspection, and not just make	
4	outrageous.	4	sure they do a pretrip inspection, make	
5	How can you possibly be in charge	5	sure it's done properly.	
6	of policy when you don't know what the	6	But there's a problem. He doesn't	
7	policy should be, and you don't even	7	now to do a pretrip inspection and he	
8	attempt to acquaint yourself in the days	8	admits under oath in front of you all	
9	and weeks and months and the years that	9	that Pruden doesn't know how to do it	
10	he's worked with Silvi to learn this?	10	either.	
11	Utterly unfit for his job, utterly	11	He's only watching to see if the	
12	unfit, as was Chris Pruden, as was	12	driver, his words, is doing something.	
13	Mr. Barrientos.	13	He cannot intervene because he doesn't	
14	But in fairness to Mr. King, he's	14	know what he's looking at, and that's	
15	kicked a tire before. He said, "I	15	true whether we had some fun with	
16	kicked a tire before. I kicked it. I	16	this he's Ninja supervisor or whether	
17	took my foot and kicked a rubber tire."	17	he's right up on top of the driver.	
18	Remember what sound Mr. King said that	18	Doesn't know, can't intercede,	
19	made? Ping. Very credible person, that	19	can't intervene. Never trained how to	
20	Mr. King.	20	do a pretrip inspection, not familiar	
21	As you'll recall, Mr. King and I	21	with the CDL manual, ignorant just like	
22	had a bit of a dialogue in front of you	22	King, just like Pruden.	
23	how prior to July 31st, 2015, Silvi did	23	Ignorance, profound lasting	
24	not have a policy for calling 9-1-1	24	ignorance. Again, that's not	
25	immediately, and I asked him, "Mr. King,	25	negligence. That's willfully blind.	
		124		126
1	how long do you think it would take to	134	He told you Mr. Ball brought it	136
2	teach drivers that policy?	2	up usually drivers make up any excuse	
3	"I don't know, Mr. Sherry.	3	in the book to say they did a pretrip	
4	"Let's find out. Ladies and	4	inspection.	
5	gentlemen, you're truck drivers.	5	When that happened, when that came	
6	Anything comes off your truck, call	6	out, remember what Mr. Desmond said? "I	
7	9-1-1 immediately. How long did that	7	want to retract that statement." I	
8	take, Mr. King? About 10, 15 seconds?	8	bet. That was devastating truth on the	
9	"Yes."	9	record, but you all heard it.	
10	Wasn't done. Had to have been	10	It's dangerous. It's obviously	
11	done, should have been done, recklessly	11	dangerous.	
12	was not done. As a result, tread in the	12	And what happens, incidentally, if	
13	roadway.	13	a driver doesn't do a CDL pretrip	
14	Barrientos could have called 9-1-1	14	inspection on a truck at all?	
15	immediately. He doesn't. It sits in	15	Mr. Desmond told you he doesn't get	
16	that roadway causing havoc for 29	16	a pink slip. You get a written	
17	minutes.	17	warning. An 80,000 pound truck going on	
18	Ditto Rich and Pruden and the	18	the roadway, no pretrip inspection	
19	dispatch lady and everybody else at	19	whatsoever, Mr. Desmond writes something	
20	Silvi thereafter who was on notice and	20	down and hands it to you. Not "You're	
21	didn't call 9-1-1.	21	fired," but (indicating). "At least	
22	Which brings us to Glenn Desmond.	22	make it five minute, four minute, three	
23	Mr. Desmond was plant manager at the	23	minute, please. We have standards here	
24	time and he'd been plant manager for a	24	at Silvi."	
25	year.	25	Oh, Desmond, by the way, has been	

1	repeatedly promoted, too. That's fine.	137	You'll recall Mr. Zembower's	139
2	Also because he seems to like Mr. Pruden	2	testimony, same thing. He testified	
3	delete stuff from his phone like that.	3	about the standards of care of tire	
4	Members of the jury, "Driver of 121	4	maintenance, care for commercial	
5	also tearing up about his bald front	5	vehicles, and how this tire should have	
6	tires." This is Mr. Desmond. We got	6	been pulled, because it obviously had	
7	that one. Couldn't get Pruden's. We	7	gone beneath 105 PSI during its lifetime	
8	got that one. Promoted, he's doing well	8	given the mechanic was repeatedly	
9	at Silvi.	9	filling it to 105.	
10	Take that down.	10	Mr. Stopper and Mr. Zembower and	
11	With all that in mind, what did the	11	even Mr. Grill admitted those tires lose	
12	liability experts that we called, David	12	air. Pull it. If it's off the truck,	
13	Stopper and Jay Zembower, say?	13	you don't have this catastrophe.	
14	They said Silvi should have done a	14	Again, Silvi's attorney couldn't	
15	stand-down on July 31st, 2015. There	15	lay a glove on him during cross because	
16	were no systems in place to protect the	16	everything Mr. Zembower told you was	
17	public. Safety is paramount. Mr.	17	well-recognized mainstream standards and	
18	Pruden didn't know what that word meant,	18	regulations.	
19	but safety is paramount. It's number	19	So is it any wonder that	
20	one.	20	Mr. Stopper and Mr. Zembower,	
21	I don't care if you want to make	21	professionals who have devoted their	
22	money delivering concrete. You can't	22	lives to commercial vehicle safety, were	
23	put the public at risk. Until you start	23	appalled by Silvi's conduct? Of course	
24	taking reasonable steps, don't deliver	24	not.	
25	concrete.	25	Responsible companies do not act	
Ļ		129		140
1	That's not hard to figure out what	138	like Silvi. Again, it's not isolated at	140
2	these systems are. They're in the free	2	Silvi. It's systemic. It's day in and	
3	CDL manuals. You just have to learn	3	day out, weak in and week out, month in	
4	them.	4	and month out, year in and year out.	
5	You'll recall Mr. Stopper's	5	You also heard from Troy Cottles.	
6	testimony. He came to the witness stand	6	Mr. Ball has referenced somewhat	
7	with a regulatory book as big as one of	7	extensively and I won't repeat other	
8	those old Webster's Dictionaries. He	8	than to say it's his testimony that the	
9	was able to quote the regulations, state	9	bolt in the tire is clearly there for	
10	laws, chapter and verse. You'll recall	10	hundreds of miles and he said at least a	
11	how calmly he set forth how Silvi was	11	day prior to the accident and, therefore	
12	erroneous in everything they did.	12	it would have been caught had a driver	
13	What happened during	13	done a reasonable pretrip inspection.	
14	cross-examination? He fielded every	14	As an aside, Mr. Cottles took issue	
15	question with ease. He couldn't lay a	15	with how this tire was manufactured by	
16	glove on him. Why? Because he knows	16	Bridgestone and McCarthy.	
17	the standards and knows the regulations.	17	You'll note I didn't say boo about	
18	They're straightforward, unambiguous.	18	McCarthy or Bridgestone during my	
19	Apparently, it's very easy, two	19	opening. That's not because I like the	
20	plus two is four. With Mr. Grill, it's	20	way this tire was manufactured. I	
21	two plus two is Jello.	21	don't.	
22	Very, very easy to do that on	22	But given the evidence as to how	
23	cross-examination in this case,	23	Silvi behaved, driving around in a truck	
24	Mr. Stopper, easy to respond to any	24	with a bolt in it, not doing pretrip	
25	question defendants have.	25	inspections, having supervisors who	

1	admit they have no idea what they're	141	someone, anyone that would come in here	143
2	looking at, not calling 9-1-1 as soon as	2	and say, "Look, plaintiffs have got this	
3	the tread comes off the truck despite	3	company all wrong. There's this other	
4	knowing it's a disaster, it's hard to	4	person. He's going to tell you what you	
5	think of anything McCarthy or	5	saw was just aberrations. It's not	
6	Bridgestone did that caused the	6	really who we are."	
7	disaster.	7	Which of their employees did they	
8	It's not like McCarthy called up	8	call during their defense case in	
			•	
9	Silvi and said, "Hey, guys and girls,	9	chief? No one. Not one person called.	
10	should that tire we sold to R.E. Pierson	10	In truth, Pruden, Desmond,	
11	before you acquired it ever come apart	11	Barrientos, and King, Keck, they	
12	on the highway, please, do us a favor,	12	represent the company. That's this	
13	make sure you never call 9-1-1. Please,	13	company.	
14	will you do that for us? For some	14	They probably shouldn't be doing	
15	reason, we don't like people calling	15	anything with trucks except washing	
16	9-1-1. Don't do that for us. Don't	16	them, except, of course, as we know	
17	call 9-1-1." No, there was nothing like	17	put it up they can't even to that.	
18	that.	18	Instead of bringing in somebody	
19	It's Silvi. It's always been about	19	from Silvi to claim they did a good job,	
20	Silvi's conduct. That's the disaster,	20	Silvi brought in Lew Grill.	
21	the disaster they caused.	21	There's a metaphor that I think	
22	On 9-1-1, you heard Mr. Motyczka,	22	aptly describes Mr. Grill. Take a	
23	the 9-1-1 expert who is not rebutted by	23	balloon, blow it up, blow it all the way	
24	any witness called by the defendant,	24	up, but don't tie it off, hold the ends,	
25	nobody.	25	and then let it go and watch it spiral	
Ļ				
1	He set forth the timeline	142	chaotically around the room before	144
2	demonstrating there was approximately 29	2	landing in a deflated heap, that's	
3	minutes from the time the tread comes	3	Mr. Grill's testimony.	
4	off the tire to the time the crash	4	Mr. Grill, he came in here and told	
_		_	you all I'm not exactly sure what. But	
5	occurs.	5	one thing I do recall is how Mr. Grill	
6	Had Mr. Barrientos immediately	6	<b>č</b>	
7	called 9-1-1 as soon as he got his truck	7	was combative when presented with the	
8	to the side of the road, this disaster	8	video that Silvi has on their own	
9	doesn't occur. The police arrive two	9	website.	
10	minutes too late, because there's a	10	So we'll roll that right now.	
	significant gap, approximately 10	11	(Videotape excerpt was played.)	
11	minutes from the time the tire	12	MR. SHERRY: Let's just go back to	
12			, 0	
	delaminates to the time a citizen just	13	the still.	
12		13 14	, 0	
12 13	delaminates to the time a citizen just	13	the still.	
12 13 14	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1.	13 14	the still. It might seem to be sort of an	
12 13 14 15	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1	13 14 15	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what	
12 13 14 15 16	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he	13 14 15 16	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is	
12 13 14 15 16 17	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what	13 14 15 16 17	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a	13 14 15 16 17 18	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating).	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a disaster waiting to happen?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating). According to Mr. Grill, that man,	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a disaster waiting to happen? Of course not. Lights and sirens.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating). According to Mr. Grill, that man, in fact, is deflating those tires and	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a disaster waiting to happen? Of course not. Lights and sirens. If they get there 15 seconds before	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating). According to Mr. Grill, that man, in fact, is deflating those tires and putting himself in great personal risk.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a disaster waiting to happen? Of course not. Lights and sirens. If they get there 15 seconds before Ms. Reed gets there, there's no crash.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating). According to Mr. Grill, that man, in fact, is deflating those tires and putting himself in great personal risk. Nothing more needs to be said about	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23	delaminates to the time a citizen just so happens to call 9-1-1. Do you really think that 9-1-1 would have ignored Mr. Barrientos if he called them and expressed to them what he told you all, that he knew this was a disaster waiting to happen? Of course not. Lights and sirens. If they get there 15 seconds before Ms. Reed gets there, there's no crash. So that's Silvi liability, members	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the still. It might seem to be sort of an innocuous video of s driver doing what the CDL manual tells him to do, which is to use these on one of these (indicating). According to Mr. Grill, that man, in fact, is deflating those tires and putting himself in great personal risk. Nothing more needs to be said about Mr. Grill, other than apparently any	

		1 г		
1	145 As Mr. Ball pointed out, did Silvi	• 1	waiting to happen?	147
2	bring in anyone to expertly disagree	2	Well, for starters, she was	
3	with Mr. Cottles?	3	presented with an emergency. Even	
4	Did Silvi bring in someone to say,	4	Mr. Schorr on Monday admitted that's an	
5	"I don't know what Silvi was doing	5	emergency. I don't see how somebody	
6	insofar as pretrip inspections. That	6	could say this is a disaster waiting to	
7	bolt was picked up somehow in the eight	7	happen and that somehow it couldn't be	
8	miles between the time he left the plant	8	an emergency. It's an emergency.	
9	and the time the tire blew apart." Did	9	Let's bring up the law on the	
10	you hear anybody say that? I didn't.	10	sudden emergency charge.	
11	Moreover, even if that missing	11	Obviously, the law permits somebody	
12	person had testified, that still	12	who is put in an emergency through no	
13	wouldn't make Silvi any less negligent	13	fault of their own like Ms. Reed to get	
14	or reckless because it wouldn't explain	14	a law that is of assistance.	
15	why David Barrientos didn't immediately	15	"Sudden Emergency. In this case,	
16	call 9-1-1.	16	Pamela Reed claims she is not	
17	Let's talk about the disaster	17	responsible for the incident because she	
18	itself. Leading up to the disaster, you	18	faced a 'sudden emergency' and responded	
19	heard testimony from two eye witnesses	19	reasonably under the circumstances.	
20	in this case, two different vehicles,	20	"In order to establish that she	
21	Paige McGinniss and Rachel Caucci.	21	faced a 'sudden emergency,' Pamela Reed	
22	Both women answered every question	22	must prove to you all of the following:	
23	directly, described the tread coming	23	"No. 1, Pamela Reed faced a 'sudden	
24	upon them, and both women slammed	24	emergency' requiring immediate	
25	through that tread and they detailed for	25	responsive action."	
Γ	146	ן ו		148
1	you a terrifying experience.	1	Unquestionably. Everybody else on	
2	We also know from Zach Rich we	2	that roadway faced with that same	
3	spoke about him a little earlier that	3	emergency.	
4	at least two other vehicles slammed into	4	"Two, Pamela Reed did not create	
5	the tread. One was a Honda, which Rich	5	the 'sudden emergency.'"	
6	stated was damaged more than the Honda	6	That is certainly true. That's not	
7	was worth, and the other vehicle was a	7	her tread. That's not her disaster	
8	different company cement mixer.	8	waiting to happen. She didn't know that	
9	What did Mr. Rich tell you about	9	it happened. She couldn't call 9-1-1.	
10	what this disaster waiting to happen did	10	That's Silvi. That's Silvi that	
11	to that 80,000 cement mixer? It lifted	11	created that disaster and that's Silvi	
12	it off the ground. That's a vehicle	12	who doesn't address it.	
13	that weighs 20 times more than a car.	13	"Three, Pamela Reed's response to	
14	It lifted it off the ground. This is	14	the 'sudden emergency' was reasonable	
15	not some small issue. Disaster.	15	under the circumstances." She must	
16	That driver, by the way, that	16	prove all of those with a preponderance	
17	slammed into it obviously had a CDL	17	of the evidence, at least 51 percent.	
18	license and had a better view of the	18	How do we prove that burden to	
19	roadway than somebody in a mini van, and	19	you? Well, let's talk about Micky	
20	he couldn't steer around it. He slammed	20	Gilbert. Micky Gilbert testified that	
21	into it. Miss Reed did better than him.	21	Ms. Reed steered in a way that was	
22	All of this is relevant information	22	consistent with thousands, thousands of	
23	when you go to assess my client,	23	documents in the form of studies put	
24	Ms. Reed. And what about Ms. Reed? How	24	forth by government and manufacturers.	
	did she do with respect to this disaster	25	He found no fault with the way	
25				

		140		151
1	Ms. Reed steered that vehicle to try to	149 <b>.</b> 1	show any photos that would represent	151
2	keep it under control.	2	shore traffic on a Friday in July. No.	
3	On cross, Silvi's attorneys	3	He took the pictures before lunchtime on	
4	couldn't lay a glove on Mr. Gilbert. He	4	a Monday.	
5	was asking, Mr. Mickus, who for some	5	I wonder why Mr. Schorr did that.	
6	reason is not here today, he asked	6	I'm sure it had nothing to do with the	
7	Mr. Gilbert, "She lost control."	7	fact that maybe he wanted to show you a	
8	Remember Mr. Gilbert's response? "As	8	nice wide clear roadway as opposed to	
9	would you. As would anybody."	9	the one documented by Mr. Motyczka and	
10	He's a professionally trained	10	described by the witnesses as moderate	
11	driver, Mr. Gilbert. He admitted he's	11	to heavy traffic.	
12	going to be steering evasively when	12	Mr. Schorr actually had the	
13	presented with this circumstance not	13	audacity to tell you that even if the	
14	circumstance disaster waiting to	14	tread was in the lane two feet, Miss	
15	happen. Didn't he?	15	Reed would have had 10 feet to work	
16	The person who commented on driving	16	with. Remember that?	
17	was Steve Schorr. He's the defense	17	As I pointed out and as Mr. Schorr	
18	accident reconstructionist. As he	18	eventually conceded, 10 feet to work	
19	admitted, he's not an expert in driver	19	with presumes that Miss Reed's vehicle	
20	response studies because ignorance is	20	is zero feet wide.	
21	bliss but as he admitted on the	21	Again, when you have a credible	
22	stand, he had to admit that Miss Reed	22	defense, you're not putting people like	
23	was steering consistently with the	23	that on the witness stand to try to spin	
24	Pennsylvania CDL manual.	24	a tail.	
25	You remember Mr. Farrar walked him	25	Where was that tread in the	
		150		152
1	through that. We went through the steer	150	roadway? Remember Mr. Zingarini, one of	192
2	inputs. She's not even as extreme as	2	Silvi's attorneys, questioning my client	
3	the upper level put forth in the	3	as to where that tread was, just asking	
4	Pennsylvania CDL manual in terms of	4	her over and over and over and over	
5	steering left and right and correcting.	5	again, "Look at that, that's the police	
6	Probably the only credible thing	6	photo, look at where the tread is," and	
7	Mr. Schorr told you.	7	Miss Reed explaining over and over, "No,	
8	And they called him on July 31st,	8	it's more to the left, more to the left	
9	2015, not 9-1-1.	9	when I saw it."	
10	What else did Mr. Schorr tell you?	10	I was dumbfounded when I was	
11	He tried to tell you that this photo,	11	hearing those questions, because	
12	that shows really good spatial	12	Mr. Zingarini knew that Mr. Bohannon,	
13	relationship of the van in the lane.	13	the other driver who had been deposed	
14	Yeah. I don't know. What do you	14	months ago remember he's right behind	
	think, members of the jury? Think	15	Miss Reed where did he put it?	
15				
	that's fair, good spatial good	16	Put up the photo. Oh, look at	
15 16 17	that's fair, good spatial good relationship, by showing the van when	16 17	Put up the photo. Oh, look at that, where he circled, more to the	
16	that's fair, good spatial good relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane?		Put up the photo. Oh, look at that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that?	
16 17	relationship, by showing the van when	17	that, where he circled, more to the	
16 17 18	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane?	17 18	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that?	
16 17 18 19	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane? Why did Mr. Schorr show you that? Because under that scenario, oh, maybe	17 18 19	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that? Better question, how dare he do that to	
16 17 18 19 20	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane? Why did Mr. Schorr show you that?	17 18 19 20	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that? Better question, how dare he do that to a woman who has PTSD. How dare he.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane? Why did Mr. Schorr show you that? Because under that scenario, oh, maybe Miss Reed just keeps going down the road	17 18 19 20 21	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that? Better question, how dare he do that to a woman who has PTSD. How dare he. Mr. Schorr concluded his testimony	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane? Why did Mr. Schorr show you that? Because under that scenario, oh, maybe Miss Reed just keeps going down the road as opposed to having the vehicle	17 18 19 20 21 22	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that? Better question, how dare he do that to a woman who has PTSD. How dare he. Mr. Schorr concluded his testimony with trying to tell me, "Oh, if Miss	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relationship, by showing the van when it's over the left side of its lane? Why did Mr. Schorr show you that? Because under that scenario, oh, maybe Miss Reed just keeps going down the road as opposed to having the vehicle properly in the lane as she did.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, where he circled, more to the left. Why would Mr. Zingarini do that? Better question, how dare he do that to a woman who has PTSD. How dare he. Mr. Schorr concluded his testimony with trying to tell me, "Oh, if Miss Reed somehow saw that tread in the	

-				
1	153 then reminded him, "No, Mr. Schorr,	• 1	Barbara Ziv? I guess we must. That's	155
2	you'd blame her for tailgating under	2	the woman who claims that Shanika Brown	
3	those circumstances," and he admitted he	3	is not psychologically impacted.	
4	probably would.	4	That's testimony so obscene that	
5	That's how he works. It doesn't	5	Silvi's own life care planner, as	
6	matter what the facts and evidence are.	6	Mr. Ball pointed out, was dumbstruck	
7	It's always Pamela Reed's fault	7	when told that testimony on the stand.	
8	according to Mr. Schorr, even though	8	Is it any wonder that all of Ziv's	
9	she's confronted with a disaster, she's	9	testimony is similarly absurd? She	
10	steering in an emergency, she did none	10	offered the meritless opinion that my	
11	of those circumstances, "I just don't	11	client, who had a Pennsylvania driver's	
12	like the way she reacts to it."	12	license without any medical restrictions	
13	Members of the jury, when a company	13	at the time of the crash and has a New	
14	acts recklessly and irresponsibly and	14	Jersey driver's license now without any	
14	indefensibly, the only decent thing to	14	medical restrictions, was nevertheless	
16		16		
	do, I would posit, is to say, "We're		unfit to drive on July 31st, 2015.	
17	sorry for what we did," period. The	17	According to Ziv, the unfitness to	
18	indecent thing to do is to say, "Well,	18	drive was described as obvious. So as a	
19	we have sympathy for the victim, but	19	result, all of my client's medical	
20	nothing could have been done."	20	providers should have known she was	
21	Here, however, Silvi, throughout	21	unfit to drive and nevertheless failed	
22	this trial, they have done the worst	22	to medically report her as mandated by	
23	possible thing, which is blame the	23	law.	
24	victim.	24	Ziv, though, who wrote a report	
25	"Yeah, we knew as soon as the tread	25	stating she was unfit to drive, likewise	
Ī	154	1 ľ		156
1	came off, it was a disaster waiting to	1	did not report Miss Reed, which as I	
2	happen, and we never called 9-1-1. We	2	demonstrated with the relevant	
3	have supervisors who don't know what	3	Pennsylvania statutory authority would	
4	they're looking at. We would do pretrip	4	violate the reporting law.	
5	inspections five minutes, four minutes,	5	Ziv is not an accident	
6	three minutes. But the primary reason	6	reconstructionist. She has no	
7	for this calamity is Pamela Reed," the	7	familiarity with how people drive,	
8	woman who successfully steered around	8	driver's response, and was essentially	
9	this disaster waiting to happen using	9	laughed at in this courtroom along with	
10	steer inputs authorized by the	10	Mr. Schorr and Mr. Grill.	
11	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the	11	So this disaster waiting to happen	
12	federal government and the	12	becomes a disaster that happened, and	
13	manufacturer. Her fault.	13	this family is destroyed as a result,	
14	It's disgusting. When your	14	multi generational limb loss, my client	
15	recklessness causes a theater to catch	15	PTSD that she is reminded of virtually	
16	fire and, to top it off, you never pull	16	every minute of every day.	
17	the fire alarm, you don't get to say, "I	17	You'll recall the testimony of	
18	don't particularly like the way the	18	Dr. Kenneth Weiss. He's the Penn	
19	patrons made their way to the exits."	19	professor, forensic psychiatrist who	
20	It's sickening.	20	came in here and testified that she has	
21	That's what they're doing. They're	21	PTSD.	
22	pointing a concrete-encrusted finger at	22	Unlike Ziv, who, surprise,	
23	my client. I don't think I have to tell	23	surprise, thinks that Ms. Reed just like	
24	you what finger it is they're pointing.	24	her daughter doesn't have any	
25	Must we talk at length about	25	psychiatric symptoms, he answered all of	
	-			

1	the questions directly and stated that	157 .	MR. CORCORAN: Your Honor, if	159
2	there's no question that the July 31st,	2	that's directed to us, I object.	
3	2015, crash has profoundly affected Miss	3	MR. SHERRY: I don't know if it's	
4	Reed and has resulted in PTSD.	4	directed to him. I don't know who did	
5	She's reminded of it whenever she's	5	it.	
6	in a vehicle, whenever she watches TV,	6	THE COURT: So it's irrelevant. At	
7	whenever she sees footage of the crash.	7	the end of each person's presentation,	
8	She's reminded every time she sees her	8	we routinely take down whatever has been	
9	daughter and granddaughter.	9	left up there. So no aspersions should	
10	Seeing your family is supposed to	10	be cast towards anyone.	
11	provoke joy. Instead, when she goes to	11	MR. SHERRY: I'll go through a	
12	hug Shanika, her daughter hugs her back	12	similar exercise with Ms. Reed.	
13	with one arm. When she changes	13	Twenty-one years, members of the	
14	A.B., picks up that cute little girl,	14	jury, is 251 months. 251 months is	
15	she sees one leg.	15	7,665 days. 7,665 days is 183,960	
16	It's difficult to describe without	16	hours. 183,960 hours, that's 11,937,600	
17	choking up what it must be like for this	17	minutes.	
18		18	I urge you to consider those	
19	family, particularly, Shanika to change that little girl's diapers with one harm	19	increments when you decide what to award	
	<b>o</b>			
20 21	looking down at her one leg.	20	Miss Reed. To my end, I want it to	
22	That my client experiences as well. She cares. She's the matriarch	21 22	compensate Miss Reed, not to punish	
			Silvi. Punishment, depending on when	
23	of this family. She's cares for her	23	you check a certain box, that comes	
24	daughter and granddaughter.	24	later.	
25	That is my client's reality. It's	25	But this compensation, I don't want	
		158		160
1	a constant gnawing fear and horror, and	1	you to make Silvi pay one penny more	
2	it's going to be nightmarish and it's	2	than what you believe Ms. Reed is	
3	going to be pain and it's going to be	3	entitled to, but I don't want one penny	
4	with her for the rest of her life, which	4	less.	
5	this Court will instruct you is 21 years	5	And I ask you to award whatever	
6	from the date of the accident. It will	6	amount you choose to award to Ms. Reed	
7	always be her reality. Her condition	7	against the only entity responsible for	
8	is, according to Dr. Weiss, permanent.	8	causing her and her family true horror,	
9	It will be up to you to ascribe, to	9	which is Silvi.	
10	ultimately determine what to award Miss	10	Any percentage of responsibility	
11	Reed for these 21 years.	11	directed to anyone else, even if it's	
12	Unlike other states in America, the	12	just one percent for this disaster, be	
13	law in Pennsylvania does not permit me	13	it McCarthy, Bridgestone I can't	
14	to suggest a number for you, and that's	14	believe I'm even saying this	
15	a good thing. A fair and impartial jury	15	Ms. Reed, who is a victim in every	
16	can reach that number better than any	16	meaningful sense of the word, is not	
17	one lawyer I know, any ten lawyers I	17	only utterly inappropriate given the	
18	know.	18	evidence in this case, it rewards the	
19	I only ask that you think about	19	meritless, outrageous and disgraceful	
	Ms. Reed over the course of those 21	20	conduct of Silvi.	
20			They chose to run their concrete	
20 21	years in the following increments	21	•	
21 22	years in the following increments similar to Mr. Ball.	22	company in a reckless and outrageous	
21			company in a reckless and outrageous manner. They chose to make people like	
21 22	similar to Mr. Ball.	22	company in a reckless and outrageous	

[	161	ו ר		163
1	were looking at.	1		103
2	They chose to disregard their own	2		
3	policies about their company's use of	3		
4	retreaded tires. They chose to have a	4		
5	driver drive around with a bolt in one	5		
6	of his tires and a nail in another for	6		
7	hundreds of miles.	7		
8	They chose to let drivers do	8		
9	five-minute, four-minute, three-minute	9		
10	pretrips for 80,000 pound concrete	10		
11	trucks.	11		
12	They chose to prioritize profits	12		
13	over safety, and they chose not to train	13		
14	their drivers to call 9-1-1 immediately,	14		
15	resulting in a massive tread on 295 for	15		
16	29 minutes, crash after crash after	16		
17	crash after crash after crash.	17		
18	They recklessly and negligently	18		
19	caused the disaster. They took no steps	19		
20	to address this disaster they created,	20		
21	and they didn't protect the public	21		
22	including this family.	22		
23	Silvi, members of the jury, they	23		
24	made their proverbial bed. It's high	24		
25	time they and they alone lay in it.	25		
[	162	1		164
1	As a result, I'm going to come back	1	CERTIFICATION	
2	and talk to you again, and I'm going to	2		
3	ask you find against one company and one	3		
4	company only, and that's Silvi. Thank	4		
5	you.	5	I HEREBY certify that the proceedings	
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sherry,	6	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in	
7	on behalf of Ms. Reed.	7	the notes taken by me in the above cause, and this	
8	Members of the jury, we're going to	8	copy is a correct transcript of the same.	
9	take a lunch until 2:00. We'll stand in	9		
10	recess until 2:00.	10		
11	THE COURT OFFICER: This Court	11		
12	stands in luncheon recess until	12		
13	2:00 p.m.	13		
14	All rise as the jury exits the	14	JANET M. MANSFIELD, RPR	
15	room.	15	Official Court Reporter	
16	(The jury exited the courtroom.)	16		
17	THE COURT OFFICER: You may be	17		
18	seated.	18		
19	THE COURT: So we'll cool off the	19	(The foregoing certification of this	
20	courtroom, and we'll see you all at	20	transcript does not apply to any reproduction of	
21	2:00.	21	the same by any means unless under the direct	
22	THE COURT OFFICER: Court stands in	22	control and/or supervision of the certifying	
	til 0.00	23	reporter.)	
23	recess until 2:00.	20		
23 24	(Luncheon recess was taken.)	24	, ,	